

Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engineering & Technology, Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id.no.PU/PN.Engg./445/2012) +91-2112-283185 * Fax: (02112) 283185

Web:www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

*Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

Academic Year 2022-23

Feedback Report

Civil Engineering Department



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

3-Good

2- Average

5- Excellent

Name of Department: - Civil Engineering

Semester / Year:-III (2022-23)

4- Very Good

1. Student evaluation for faculty

1- Poor

Grades

WALLS WILLIAM	Criteria			Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	BTAP	MOS	FM	EM-III	EG
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	3	4	. 4
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	3	4	5
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	5	3	5	4
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	4	4	4	3
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	3	2	5
6	Behavior of teachers	4	4	4	4	2
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	5	4
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	4	4	4	2
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	5	3	3	4
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by	4	4	4	4	5

2. General Evaluation of Institute

teachers

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college		/			
3	Laboratories of department	~				
4	Exam cell of college		V			
5	Administration of college	~				
6	Discipline in college		V			
7	Environment of college campus	V				
8	Training and placement office		~			
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities		V			

mac	hi	nes	dre	not	9110	dol	10
					1111	(V V V)	1 7



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: - Civil Er	agineering
--------------------------------	------------

Semester / Year:-111 (2022-23)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grades	1- Poor 2- Average	3-Good	4	- Very Good	5- E	cellent
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
211.110.	C-111C-111	BTAP	MOS	FM	EM-III	EG
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	4	5	5	2
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	5	4	3	4
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	3	5	3	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	5	2	4	2
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	4	4	3	5
6	Behavior of teachers	4	3	3	4	5
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	4	2	3	4
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	3	3	3	2
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	4	4	4	4
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	5	3	3	5

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation		V			
2	Library facility of college	~				
3	Laboratories of department		V			
4	Exam cell of college			V		
5	Administration of college	~				
6	Discipline in college		V			
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college		/			
10	Departmental extra activities					

Your suggestion for f	future	improvement	of	department:-
-----------------------	--------	-------------	----	--------------

		1		
P002	100	M		
			 	The second second



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: - Civil Engineering

Semester / Year:-III (2022-23)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grades	1- Poor	2- Average	3-Good	4- Very Good	5- Excellent
					Hardware and the section of

Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject					
31. 140.	CHCIII	BTAP	MOS	FM	EM-III	EG	
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	5	3	4	4	
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	3	4	5	2	
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	5	3	3	4	
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	4	4	5	3	
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	3	5	4	2	
6	Behavior of teachers	3	5	4	3	3	
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	4	3	2	2	
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	3	4	4	2	
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	3	5	3	2	
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	4	3	4	4	

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	~				
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department		V			
4	Exam cell of college			V		
5	Administration of college		V			
6	Discipline in college	~				
7	Environment of college campus		V			
8	Training and placement office			V		
9	Infrastructure of college					-
10	Departmental extra activities					

lab	are	not	c'	lea.	n



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

2

4

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

3-Good

2- Average

(5)

5- Excellent

Name of Department: - Civil Engineering

Semester / Year:-III (2022-23)

4- Very Good

1. Student evaluation for faculty

1- Poor

Grades

10

Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject						
	Cinera	BTAP	MOS	FM	ЕМ-Ш	EG		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	3	5	4	5		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	4	3	4		
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	3	5	2		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	4	4	4	4		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	2	3	5		
6	Behavior of teachers	4	4	4	4	4		
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	3	5	2	3		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	4	5	2		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	5	5	3	5		

2. General Evaluation of Institute

teachers

Ideas and concept were presented clearly by

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	~				
2	Library facility of college		~			
3	Laboratories of department			~		
4	Exam cell of college	V				
5	Administration of college		V			
6	Discipline in college			V		
7	Environment of college campus		V			
8	Training and placement office	V		140		-
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities	1				

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

No computer lab



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

3-Good

2- Average

5- Excellent

Name of Department: - Civil Engineering

Semester / Year:-III (2022-23)

4- Very Good

1. Student evaluation for faculty


1- Poor

Grades

C N	Cuttoute	Subject					
Sr. No.	Criteria	BTAP	MOS	FM	EM-III	EG	
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	4	3	3	4	
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	5	3	4	2	
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	3	4	3	3	
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	5	3	3	3	
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	4	5	2	1	
6	Behavior of teachers	5	3	2	5	2	
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	3	4	3	5	
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	4	4	4	
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	3	2	2	4	
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	5	3	4	3	

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college		V			
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college		V			
5	Administration of college	V				
6	Discipline in college		/			
7	Environment of college campus	V				
8	Training and placement office		V			
9	Infrastructure of college	~				
10	Departmental extra activities		1			





SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: - Civil Engineering

Semester / Year:-V (2022-23)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grades	1- Poor	2- Average	3-Good	4- Very Good	5- Excellent
--------	---------	------------	--------	--------------	--------------

Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject					
		HWRE	WSE	DSS	EEFM	ELE-I	
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	5	5	5	
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	5	3	3	5	
3	Teachers willingness to help	2	4	2	2	4	
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	3	4	4	2	
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	8	3	3	3	3	
6	Behavior of teachers	4	5	4	4	5	
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	4	3	3	4	
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	5	5	5	2	
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	જ	3	3	3	3	
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	5	5	5	5	

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	~				
2	Library facility of college	V				
3	Laboratories of department		V			
4	Exam cell of college		V			
5	Administration of college		1/			
6	Discipline in college			. /		
7	Environment of college campus		./			
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities	1/				

100	4
mpiller	11
	upmer



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: - Civil Engineering

Semester / Year:-V (2022-23)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grades	1- Poor	2- Average	3-Good	4- Very Good	5- Excellent
--------	---------	------------	--------	--------------	--------------

Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject					
31.110.	Citteria	HWRE	WSE	DSS	EEFM	ELE-I	
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	4	3	3	4	
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	4	4	4	
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	3	3	5	
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	4	4	2_	4	
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	5	5	3	5	
6	Behavior of teachers	4	4	4	4	4	
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	5	5	3	5	
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	3	4	2,	3	
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	5	3	3	5	
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	4	4	4	4	

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department	V				
4	Exam cell of college		レ			
5	Administration of college			1/		
6	Discipline in college				- 1	
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college			-		
10	Departmental extra activities				,	

,	01		1
100	H	need	ed



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

	-	
ſ.	13	`\
	0	1
	100	1

Name of Department: - Civil Engineering

Semester / Year:-V (2022-23)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

1- Poor	2- Average	3-Good	4- Very Good	5- Excellent
1- Poor	2- Average	3-Good	4- very Good	5- EX

Sr. No.	Critorio		Subject					
SI. 180.	Criteria	HWRE	WSE	DSS	EEFM	ELE-I		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	4	3	3	4		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	5	4	4	5		
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	3	3	3		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	2.	5	5	5		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	3	4	4	3		
6	Behavior of teachers	5	5	5	5	5		
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	2	3	3	2_		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	3	2	2	3		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	4	4	4	4		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	2	3	3	5		

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college	V				
3	Laboratories of department	V				
4	Exam cell of college		V			
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college		/			
7	Environment of college campus			1		
8	Training and placement office			1		
9	Infrastructure of college		-			
10	Departmental extra activities					



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record N	Vo:-	
Revision	i:-	
Date:-	+:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

(6)

5

Name of Department: - Civil Engineering

Semester / Year:-V (2022-23)

3

2

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grades	1- Poor	2- Average	3-Good	4	4- Very Good	5- Er	cellent
G N					Subject		
Sr. No.	Cri	teria	HWRE	WSE	DSS	EEFM	ELE-I
1	Subject knowledge of	teachers	4	5	4	4	5
2	Clarity of teachers exp	olanation	2	5	2	2	5
3	Teachers willingness	to help	3	3	3	3	3
4	Teachers ability to me	otivate	4	4	4	4	4
5	Teachers ability to org	ganize the lectures	2	3	2	2	2
6	Behavior of teachers		5	5	5	5	5
7	Sincerity of teachers		4	4	4	4	4
8	Overall teaching effect	ctiveness	3	5	3	3	2
9	Test conducted well in	n time by teachers	2	3	2	2	3

2. General Evaluation of Institute

teachers

10

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation		~			
2	Library facility of college		~			
3	Laboratories of department	~				
4	Exam cell of college	N N				
5	Administration of college		~	W		
6	Discipline in college			V		
7	Environment of college campus			1/		
8	Training and placement office			./		
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities					

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

Ideas and concept were presented clearly by

no	PI	CIC	em	ent.	in	Cal	100	10	
	1			711	111	10	100	0	
	,						,	C	



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of De	partment: - (Civil E	ngineering
------------	---------------	---------	------------

Semester / Year:-VII (2022-23)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grades	1- Poor 2- Average	3-Good	4-	Very Good	5- Excellent		
Sr. No. Criteria		Subject					
01.110.	CHUI	FE	TRE	ELE-I	ELE-II		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	4	3	3		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	4	4		
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	3	3		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	4	4	4		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	3	5		
6	Behavior of teachers	4	4	2	4		
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	5		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	3	4	4		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	5	3	3		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	4	4	4		

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					2.15.00
2	Library facility of college	1				
3	Laboratories of department		1./			
4	Exam cell of college			1/		
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus		./			
8	Training and placement office		V	^		
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities		V			

parking	dred	11	bash
,	()	1	.000



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

2. Average

3-Good

Name of D	epartment:	- Civil	Engineering
-----------	------------	---------	-------------

Semester / Year:-VII (2022-23)

4. Very Good 5. Excellent

1. Student evaluation for faculty

1. Poor

Grades

Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject	
St. (80.	Criteria	FE	TRE	ELE-I	ELE-II
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	5	4	3
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	5	3	4
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	4	3	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	B	4	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	5	3	0
6	Behavior of teachers	2	3	2	2
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	3	5
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	4	3	4
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	5	4	3
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	3	4	4

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college		1/			
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college		V			
5	Administration of college		1/	1		
6	Discipline in college			1/		
7	Environment of college campus			-V		
8	Training and placement office			./		
9	Infrastructure of college		1/	V		
10	Departmental extra activities	1				-

DO02 (ondi Wan	equipment	
1000000		Tallent	



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision.	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Depar	tment: - Civil	Engineering
---------------	----------------	-------------

Semester / Year:-VII (2022-23)

Student evaluation for faculty

Grades	1- Poor 2- Average	3-Good	4	- Very Good	5- Excellent
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject	
		FE	TRE	ELE-I	ELE-II
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	5	3	5
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	4	3
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	3	5
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	4	5	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	5	4	3
6	Behavior of teachers	5	4	3	4
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	5	3	5
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	2	4
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	5	4	3
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	4	3	3

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college		~	1		
3	Laboratories of department			V		
4	Exam cell of college		V			
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college		1/			
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities	1				

1	V	0	C	0	8	+	0	0	2	
					_	_	-	_		۰



Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engineering & Technology, Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id.no.PU/PN.Engg./445/2012) +91-2112-283185 * Fax: (02112) 283185

Web:www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

*Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

Academic Year 2022-23

Feedback Report

Computer Engineering Department



Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engineering & Technology Someshwarnagar Tal - Baramati, Dist - Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribui Phule Pune University, Id no PUPN Engg /445/2012) +91-2112-283185

* Fax: (02112) 283185 Web was secsomeshwar ac in *Fmail aspm1973/segmail.com

COMPUTER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT STUDENT FEEDBACK

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-23



Shri Someshwar Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's

Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engineering & Technology, Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id.no.PU/PN.Engg./445/2012) +91-2112-283185 * Fax: (02112) 283185

Web: www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

*Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

COMPUTER ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT

STUDENT FEEDBACK

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-23



Shri Someshwar Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's

Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engineering and Technology Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id.no.PU/PN.Engg./445/2012) +91-2112-283185 * Fax: (02112) 283185

Web :www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

*Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

(A.Y. 2022-23 Semester-I)

Subject Teacher List

Sr. No.	Class	Subject	Subject Teacher
1		Discrete Mathematics	Prof.Ghadge.S.V
2		Fundamentals of Data Structures	Prof.Ghadge.S.S
3	Second Year (S.E.)	Object Oriented Programming (OOP)	Prof. Kadam.S.A
4	(3.5.)	Computer Graphics	Prof. Bharne.V.
5		Digital Electronics and Logic Design	Prof. Shinde.P.S

Sr. No.	Class	Subject	Subject Teacher
1		Database Management Systems	Prof. Kokare.S.A
2		Theory of Computation	Prof. Shah.S.N
3	Third Year (T.E.)	Systems Programming and Operating System	Prof. Waghmode.P.S
4		Computer Networks and Security	Prof.Ghadge.S.V
5		Internet of Things and Embedded Systems	Prof. Bhapkar.A.D

Sr. No.	Class	Subject	Subject Teacher
1		Design and Analysis of Algorithms	Prof.Pawar.S.D
2	Fourth Year	Machine Learning	Prof.Wagh.M.V
3	(B.E.)	Blockchain Technology	Prof. Waghmode.P.S
4		Object Oriented Modeling and Design	Prof. Shah.S.N
		Software Testing and Quality Assurance	Prof. Bhapkar.A.D



Shri Someshwar Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's

Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engineering & Technology, Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id.no.PU/PN.Engg./445/2012) +91-2112-283185

Web:www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

* Fax: (02112) 283185 *Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

(A.Y. 2022-23 Semester-I)

Subject Teacher List

Sr. No.	Class	Jeet Teacher List	
1	Class	Subject	Subject Teacher
2		Discrete Mathematics	Prof. Ghadge S.V.
3	Second Year	Digital Electronic and Logic Design	Prof. Shinde P.S.
1	(S.E.)	Fundamentals of Data Structure	Prof. Ghadge S.S.
4		Computer Graphics	Prof. Bharane V.A.
5		Object Oriented Programming	Prof.Kadam R.A.

Sr. No.	Class	Subject	Subject Teacher
1		Theory of Computation	Prof. Shah S.N.
2	Third Year (T.E.)	Embedded System & Internet of Things	Prof. Bhapkar A.D.
3		Web Technology	Prof. Ghadge S.V.
4		System Programming and Operating System	Prof.Waghmode P.S
5		Database Management System	Prof.Kokare S.A.

Sr. No.	Class	Subject	Subject Teacher
1		Design & Analysis Algorithm	Prof.Pawar S.D.
2	Fourth Year	Machine Learning	Prof. Wagh M.V.
3	(B.E.)	Block Chain technology	Prof.Waghmode P.S
4		Software Testing & Quality Assurance	Prof. Bhapkar A.D.
5		Object Oriented Modeling & Design	Prof. Shah S.N.



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

Semester I/Year:-2022-23

1. Student evaluation for faculty

				4 Mari	5-Excellent
Crada	1-Poor	2-Average	3-Good	4-Very	-
Grade	1-1 001	2 1110		Good	

				Subject		200
Sr. No.	Criteria	STQA	OOMP	DAA	BCT	ML
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	4	3	5	4
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	3	5	4	3
3	Teachers willingness to help	R	5	4	3	5
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	4	3	5	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	3	5	4	3
6	Behavior of teachers	3	5	4	3	5
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	3	5	4
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	3	5	9	3
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	5	4	3	5
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	4	3	8	4

2 General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus		~			
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college		~			
10	Departmental extra activities					



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

Semester I/Year:-2022-23

1. Student evaluation for faculty

1. Student	evaluation for faculty			137	5-Excellent
Grade	1-Poor	2-Average	3-Good	4-Very Good	J-D

		Subject					
Sr. No.	Criteria	STQA	OOMP	DAA	BCT	ML	
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	4	3	5	4	
2 .	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	3	5	4	3	
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	5	4	3	5	
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	4	3	5	4	
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	3	5	4	3	
6	Behavior of teachers	3	5	4	3	5	
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	. 3	5	4	
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	3	5	4	B	
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	5	4	3	5	
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	4	3	5	4	

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	General Evaluation of Institute Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college	V				
6	Discipline in college	~				
7	Environment of college campus	~				
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college					-
10	Departmental extra activities		~			



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

Semester I/Year:-2022-23

1. Student evaluation for faculty

5-Excellent

		Subject					
Sr. No.	Criteria	STQA	OOMP	DAA	BCT	ML	
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	4	3	5	4	
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	3	5	4 .	3	
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	5	4	3	3	
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	4	3	5	7	
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	3	5	4	2	
6	Behavior of teachers	3	5	4	3	1	
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	3	5	7	
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	3	5	4	3	
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	5	9	3	5	
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	S	4	3	5	4	

Sr. No.	General Evaluation of Institute Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college				1	
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college	<u></u>				
7	Environment of college campus					-
8	Training and placement office		~			
9	Infrastructure of college		~			
10	Departmental extra activities		V			

SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

Semester I/Year:-2022-23

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	1-Poor	2-Average	3-Good	4-Very	5-Excellent
Grade	1-1 001	2-71verage		Good	

	582.52 m is			Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	STQA	OOMP	DAA	BCT	ML
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	24	_5	20	_5
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	24	5	4	5	7
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	24	5	H	5
4	Teachers ability to motivate	14	5	71	5	_5
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	24	24	5	5
6	Behavior of teachers	24	5	3	74	5
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	_5	26	_5
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	_5	24	5	14
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	56	5	24	5
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	24	_5	4	_5	5

2 General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	V				
2	Library facility of college		~			
3	Laboratories of department	~		V		
4	Exam cell of college	~				
5	Administration of college	~				
6	Discipline in college	~				
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college	V				
10	Departmental extra activities	1				



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

5-Excellent

5

4

5

4

5

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

2-Average

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

Semester I/Year:-2022-23

4-Very

7

5

5

4

3-Good

5

4

5

M

5

Record

1. Student evaluation for faculty

1-Poor

				G	ood	
Sr. No.				Subject		T 10
Sr. No.	Criteria	STQA	OOMP	DAA	BCT	ML
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	24	5	24	_5
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	24	5	. 4	5	24
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	24	.5	24	5

4

5

4

5

4

Test conducted well in time by teachers 5 4 5 4 5

Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers 4 5 4 5 4

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Teachers ability to motivate

Overall teaching effectiveness

Behavior of teachers

Sincerity of teachers

Teachers ability to organize the lectures

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	V				
2	Library facility of college		~			
3	Laboratories of department	~				
4	Exam cell of college		~			
5	Administration of college	~				
6	Discipline in college		V			
7	Environment of college campus	V				
8	Training and placement office	· ~				
9	Infrastructure of college	~				
10	Departmental extra activities					



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

5-Excellent

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester I/Year:-2022-23

4-Very

3-Good

Grade	1-Poor	2-Average	3-Goo	Go	ood	
		T		Subject	Locat	ML
Sr. No.	Criteria	STQA	OOMP	DAA	BCT	24
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	5	14	5	-
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	4	5	14	5
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	14	74	14
4	Teachers ability to motivate	1	4	5	3	5
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	4	4	74
6	Behavior of teachers	14	24	5	5	5
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	4	74
		14	4	4	5	5
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	1	5	5	4	4
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	5	5	5	5
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	14		1 -	3	

	General Evaluation of Institute Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Sr. No.						
1	Departmental academic co-operation	1				
2	Library facility of college		/			
3	Laboratories of department	~				
4	Exam cell of college	~	1			
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					-
7	Environment of college campus	~				,
8	Training and placement office		/			
9	Infrastructure of college	V				-
10	Departmental extra activities	V				



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

5-Excellent

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

2-Average

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

1. Student evaluation for faculty

1-Poor

Semester I/Year:-2022-23

4-Very

3-Good

irade	1-Poor	2-Average			ood	
				Subject		ML
Sr. No.	Criteria	STQA	OOMP	DAA	BCT	VIL
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	24	5	3	14
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	4	3	24	5
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	24	5	74	4
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	Ц	2	5	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	24	5	5	74
6	Behavior of teachers	5	_3	5	24	5
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	24	5	3	_5
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	14	24	5	5	1
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	3	4	4	5
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	24	24	_5	14	3

2. General Evaluation of Institute Poor Average Very Good Good Excellent Particulars Sr. No. Departmental academic co-operation 1 Library facility of college Laboratories of department 3 Exam cell of college 4 Administration of college 5 Discipline in college 6 Environment of college campus 7 Training and placement office 8 Infrastructure of college 9 Departmental extra activities 10



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:	•
Revision:-	
Date:-	

5-Excellent

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

2-Average

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

Student evaluation for faculty

1-Poor

Semester II/Year:-2022-23

4-Very


Good

3-Good

Sr. No.	California			Subject		
Sr. 140.	Criteria	HPC	NLP	DL	BIA	-
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	7	5	4	
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	5	74	_5	
3	Teachers willingness to help	1-1	5	5	5	
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	14	29	4	
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	3	3	3	
6	Behavior of teachers	14	24	H	4	
7	Sincerity of teachers	_5	5	5	5	
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	24	24	4	7	
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	5	5	5	
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	14	7	7	

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	V				
2	Library facility of college		~			
3	Laboratories of department	V				
4	Exam cell of college	V				
5	Administration of college	V				
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
8	Training and placement office	1				
9	Infrastructure of college	V				
10	Departmental extra activities					



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

5-Excellent

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

2-Average

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

Semester II/Year:-2022-23

4-Very

Good

3-Good

1. Student evaluation for faculty

1-Poor

		Subject					
Sr. No.	Criteria	HPC	NLP	DL	BIA		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	29	5	5		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	24	29	4		
3	Teachers willingness to help	24	5	4	5		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	14	5	29		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	3	74	3		
6	Behavior of teachers	5	5	5	5		
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	27	4	7		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	24	3	5	74		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	5	4	5		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	24	26	74	4		

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Departmental academic co-operation	\ \				
Library facility of college	✓				
Laboratories of department	✓				
Exam cell of college	V				
Administration of college	V				
Discipline in college	~				
Environment of college campus	V				
Training and placement office		~			
Infrastructure of college					
Departmental extra activities	/				
	Departmental academic co-operation Library facility of college Laboratories of department Exam cell of college Administration of college Discipline in college Environment of college campus Training and placement office Infrastructure of college	Departmental academic co-operation Library facility of college Laboratories of department Exam cell of college Administration of college Discipline in college Environment of college campus Training and placement office Infrastructure of college	Departmental academic co-operation Library facility of college Laboratories of department Exam cell of college Administration of college Discipline in college Environment of college campus Training and placement office Infrastructure of college	Departmental academic co-operation Library facility of college Laboratories of department Exam cell of college Administration of college Discipline in college Environment of college campus Training and placement office Infrastructure of college	Departmental academic co-operation Library facility of college Laboratories of department Exam cell of college Administration of college Discipline in college Environment of college campus Training and placement office Infrastructure of college



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

Semester II/Year:-2022-23

1. Student evaluation for faculty

				4 Mari	5-Excellent
Grade	1-Poor	2-Average	3-Good	4-Very	J
Grade	1-1 001	2-71verage		Good	

		Subject					
Sr. No.	Criteria	HPC	NLP	DL	BIA		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	4	. 5		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4 .	4	3	4		
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	5	3		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	S	4	5		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	4	3	9		
6	Behavior of teachers	3	3	5	3		
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	4	5		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	3	4		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	3	5	3		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	B	5	4	5		

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	
1	Departmental academic co-operation						
2	Library facility of college						
3	Laboratories of department						
4	Exam cell of college						
5	Administration of college	~					
6	Discipline in college						
7	Environment of college campus						
8	Training and placement office						
9	Infrastructure of college		\ \ \ \ \				
10	Departmental extra activities	~					-
				1		1	



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No.	or the second second second
Revision -	
Date .	

5-Excellent

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

2-Average

Name of Department -Computer Engineering

Semester II/Year:-2022-23

4-Very

Good

3-Good

1. Student evaluation for faculty

1-Poor

		Subject											
ir. No.	Criteria	HPC	NLP	DL	BIA	-							
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	4	4	5								
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	4	9	4								
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	9	3	3								
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	3	3	.5								
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	9	3	4	4								
6	Behavior of teachers	3	4	4	3								
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	4	3	3								
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	3	3	3								
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	9	3	4	3								
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	3	3	3								

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	V				
2	Library facility of college	~				
3	Laboratories of department	~	~			
4	Exam cell of college		~			
5	Administration of college		V			
6	Discipline in college		~			
7	Environment of college campus		1			1
8	Training and placement office		1.			1
9	Infrastructure of college		~			1
10	Departmental extra activities					1



10

teachers

SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

-

5-Excellent

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

2-Average

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

Semester II/Year:-2022-23

4-Very


3-Good

1. Student evaluation for faculty

1-Poor

Grade	1-Poor	2-Average		G	ood	
				Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	HPC	NLP	DL	BIA	
I	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	4	3	. 5	
2-	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	3	5	4	
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	5	4	3	
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	4	3	5	
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	3	5	4	
6	Behavior of teachers	3	5	4	3	
7	Sincerity of teachers	S	9	3	5	
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	3	5	4	
	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	5	4	3	
9	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by	5	4	3	5	

Sr. No.	General Evaluation of Institute Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college	~				
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college		~			
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office	~				
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities	~				



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record N	0	
Revision:	•	
Date:-		

5-Excellent

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

2-Average

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

1. Student evaluation for faculty

1-Poor

Semester II/Year:-2022-23

4-Very

Good

3-Good

			Subject											
Sr. No.	Criteria	HPC	NLP	DL	BIA									
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	4	3	. 5	5								
	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	3.	5	4	4								
2	Teachers willingness to help	3	5	4	3	3								
3	Teachers ability to motivate	5	4	3	5	5								
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	3	5	4	4								
6	Behavior of teachers	3	5	4	3	3								
	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	3	5	5								
7		4	3	5	4	4								
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	5	V	3	3								
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers Ideas and concept were presented clearly by	5	4	3	S	5								
10	teachers	18-58												

1	General Evaluation of Institute	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Sr. No.	Particulars	Executivity	,			
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities	~				



7

8

9

10

SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

4

5

4

3

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:-Computer Engineering

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester II/Year:-2022-23

Tauc	1-Poor	2-Average	3-Goo		-Very lood	5-Excellen
Sr. No.	Criteria		S	ubject		
		HPC	NLP	DL	BIA	
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	. 9	4	1	3	4
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	5	3	4	S
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	4	5	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	4	2	3	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	8	3	4	5
6	Behavior of teachers	5	9	4	2	3

4

5

3

4

5

3

4

3

4

5

2 General Evaluation of Institute

Overall teaching effectiveness

Test conducted well in time by teachers

Ideas and concept were presented clearly by


Sincerity of teachers

teachers

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college		~			
5	Administration of college		~			
6	Discipline in college		~			
7	Environment of college campus		V			
8	Training and placement office		V			
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities		/			


Subject-STQA

Sr.No	Particular/Points	Feedback form No.																						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	_	45		-						
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	2	1	2	5	5	5	5	5	-	11	12	12	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	Total	%
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	4	2	5	2	2	2	2	5	5		2	5	5	5	1	2	5	1	2	4	74	70.48
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	1	5	5	5	2	21	5	5	5	2	2	2	2	5	3	2	5	5	2	69	65.71
4	Teachers ability to motivate	1	2	5	5	1	5		21	5	5	1	5	5	5	2	2	2	2	5	5	4	97	92.38
-	Teachers ability to organize the		-	-	-	1	3	2	1	2	2	5	5	1	4	4	5	3	4	2	5	3	70	66.67
5	lectures	5	1	5	1	1	2	5	5	1	5	5	5	2	2	2	2	4	١,	-		77,511	1	
6	Behavior of teachers	5	4	5	1	4	5	5	5	5	5	-	-	A	-	2	-	4	1	5	5	4	69	65.71
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	5	5	1	-	5	3	-	5	5	4	4	2	5	2	1	5	5	2	84	80.00
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	5	5	2	-	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	3	5	3	91	86.67
	Test conducted well in time by		7	-	1	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	98	93.33
	teachers	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	5			5		-		١.	١.			0.000	
10	Ideas and concept were presented	5	4	-	-		-	-					5	1	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	93	88.5
,	Average % Point	3	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	3	98	93.3
																								80.2




Subject-OOMP

Sr.No	Particular/Points	Feedback form No.																						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	Total	%
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	2	5	2	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	20	21		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	5	2	5	4	5	2	5	4	5	5	5	5	2	2	4	5	5	2	5	4	94	89.52
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	2	2	5	3	5	2	2	4	2	5	2	2	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	87	82.86
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	2	4	1	2	1	5	1	4	1	1	1	4	4	4	5	1	5	5	5	4	77	73.33
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	5	2	4	5	2	5	1	5	5	1	5	2	2	2	2	2	5	2	4	68 72	64.76
6	Behavior of teachers	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	Δ	5	5	5	4	5	4	1	5	5	1	1	1	85	68.57
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	2	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	-			80.95
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	2	1	3	5	1	2	5	1	1	1	4	5	1	3	1	1	5	-	1	93	88.57
0	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	5	4	4	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	2	2	4	1	2	5	4	84	57.14 80.00
	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	95	90.48
11	Average % Point																							77.62




Subject-DAA

Sr.No	Particular/Points	Feedback form No.																						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	To			_	_		_									
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	2	5	5	5	5	-	-	9	10	-	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	-	Total	%
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	3	2	-	-	_	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	3	5	5	20	21		,,,
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	-	2	3	4	2	2	5	5	2	2	5	2	2	3	5	2	5	-	2	2	92	87.62
4	Teachers ability to motivate	-	4	2	2	5	2	5	5	5	2	5	5	4	5	-	2	2	-	5	2	5	73	69.52
_		2	4	2	5	2	5	5	5	2	3	2	5	1	5	-	- 2	2	5	5	4	2	81	77.14
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	5	5	_		7.5		-	-	-	3	4	5	4	4	2	5	5	3	5	79	75.2
6	Behavior of teachers	5	5	5	_	-	2	5	2	2	5	5	5	2	5	3	2	2	5	5				
7	Sincerity of teachers	_	5		5	5	5	5	5	5	4	2	5	5	2	4	2	2	-	13	3	2	77	73.3
	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	5	5	2	5	2	5	5	5	2	2	4	2	-	-	2	5	3	2	2	85	80.95
_	Foot and deathing effectiveness	5	4	5	4	5	2	2	5	2	5	-	5	4	- 2	3	3	2	5	5	3	5	83	79.0
9 1	Test conducted well in time by eachers	5	3					_	_	-	3	3	2	4	2	4	4	3	4	5	4	2	81	77.14
10	deas and concept were presented	-	3	4	5	4	5	5	2	5	4	5	5	2	4	4	2	2	5	5	4	5	85	80.99
C	early by teachers	5	4	5	5	5	-	-	-		-	1000	-									-	- 00	60.93
1 A	verage % Point					3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3	5	2	5	5	3	5	96	91.4
						_	_																	79.2/




Subject-BCT

Sr.No	Particular/Points								Fee	dba	ck fo	rm N	lo	_										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0	0														
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	5	5	1	-	-	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	Total	%
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	3	-	5	1	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	E	21		
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	1	5	3	2	1	5	3	5	1	5	5	96	91.43
4	Teachers ability to motivate		5	5	1	4	2	5	5	4	4	2	2	5	5	2	5	1	1	-	3	5	81	77.14
		5	1	1	5	1	1	5	1	4	5	5	5	2	-	-	-	-	-4	4	5	5	08	76.19
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures			-					-	-	-	-	-	3	4	5	5	4	5	1	5	5	76	72.38
6	Behavior of teachers	T.	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	4	1	5	1	1	5	5	1	1	1	5	5	5	73	69.52
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	3	5	1	2	5	5	1	1	5	5	5	5	1	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	82	78.10
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	5	1	1	3	5	5	5	4	4	1	5	5	5	1	1	4	4	1	5	5	71	67.62
	Test conducted well in time by	3	3	5	5	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	1	94	89.52
9	teachers	5	1	4	5	1	5	1	5											-	+	1	94	89.52
4.0	Ideas and concept were presented		1	<u> </u>	+	+ "	-	1	1 2	4	5	1	5	5	4	5	5	1	5	3	4	5	82	78.10
10	clearly by teachers	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	1	5	1 1	5				-		1 .				10000	1
11	Average % Point			-			1 -		1 -	1 4	13	1 1	1 3	3	1 2	2	2	4	1	1 4	5	5	92	87.62
		_																						78.76




Subject-DSA

Sr.No	Particular/Points														170000		_	Fee	dback	form	No.	-															
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	1	_	_	-						_								_	
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	4	4	3	3	2	5	5	2	5	5	5	1	E	-	10	-	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	Total	%
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	2	3	5	1	2	1	5	1	2	5	5	-	-	5	5	2	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	150	88.24
3	Teachers willingness to help	2	5	4	3	1	3	5	1	1	5	5	3	3		5	2	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	2	5	137	80.59
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	4	4	2	2	2	5	-	2	5	2	1	4	4	5	1	5	4	1	1	1	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	120	70.59
5	Teachers ability to organize the	3	3	5	2	3	1	5	3	1	5	5	5	4	4	1	2	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	1	1	5	5	5	5	4	4	2	5	128	75.29
6	Behavior of teachers	1	4	5	2		3	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	1	2	1	4	1	5	1	5	5	1	5	1	1	1	5	5	5	5	2	5	116	68.24
7	Sincerity of teachers	2	-	5	2	2	-	5	5	1	1	5	5	5	1	5	2	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	1	1	5	5	4	4	2	5	126	74.12
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	3		2	2	3	5	1	2	1	5	5	1	4	5	2	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	1	5	5	4	1	5	5	5	5	2	5	124	72.94
9	Test conducted well in time by		4	4	3	-	1	5	5	2	5	5	1	4	1	5	1	5	4	5	1	5	5	1	4	1	1	1	5	5	5	4	5	2	5	115	67.65
-	Ideas and concept were presented	3	3	4	2	2	3	5	5	2	1	5	5	4	1	5	2	4	1	5	5	4	1	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	1	5	2	4	124	72.94
	Average % Point	3	3	5	1	2	1	5	5	1	5	5	5	1	5	1	2	5	5	1	4	1	5	1	5	5	1	5	1	5	1	1	4	1	5	106	62.35
11		_																																			73.29



Subject-PPL


Sr.No	Particular/Points											0						Fee	dback	form	No.	_		_	-		_	_	_		_						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18				T	T		1	1		_	-	_		_			Total	
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	3	1	5	5	5	1	5	4	1	-		2	-	4.5	10	17	10	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	25	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34		*
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	1	2	11	4	1	5	1	4	1	,	-	3	3	4	5	2	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	139	81.76
3	Teachers willingness to help	5		1	-	7	1	,	-	4	1	1	3	5	5	1	4	5	1	5	3	1	5	1	5	5	1	4	5	5	2	3	5	5	5	122	71.76
-	Teachers ability to motivate	-			5	5	1	1	1	4	2	5	1	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	1	5	4	5	5	2	1	4	5	2	2	2	2	5	117	68.82
-	Teachers ability to organize the	1 -	-	5	3	5	5	5	1	1	1	1	5	4	4	2	5	4	5	5	3	4	1	3	1	5	5	1	5	1	2	3	4	5	5	119	70.00
5	Behavior of teachers	5	5	5	4	1	5	-	5	4	5	5	5	1	5	1	2	1	4	5	4	1	2	3	5	2	5	4	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	130	76.47
6		5	4	5	5	5	1	5	5	4	1	5	5	4	5	4	2	5	1	5	2	1	5	2	5	5	1	4	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	133	78.24
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	1	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	2	1	4	5	5	5	1	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	2	5	5	5	5	140	82.35
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	1	5	3	5	1	5	5	5	5	4	5	1	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	5	139	81.76
9	Test conducted well in time by	5	5	4	4	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	2	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	1	2	2	5	2	5	2	4	5	5	140	82.35
10	Ideas and concept were presented	5	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	161	94.71
	Average % Point					_						-	-	-			-		-	-	-	- 1	-														78.82






Subject-N

Sr.No	Particular/Points																		Feed	back	form	No.									_	_		_			12.00	
		1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	Total	%
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	3	3	5		3	3	5	3	1	3	2	3	2	2	5	5	3	3	3	2	5	3	5	2	3	5	3	2	3	50	3	32	33	34 E	113	66.47
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	4	1	1		4	5	5	1	5	5	5	1	1	4	5	5	3	4	5	4	1	1	4	2	5	1	5	5	1	5	1	4	2	3	113	66.47
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	5	1		1	1	5	5	1	5	1	5	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	5	5	1	5	5	1	5	1	3	3	3	5	3	2	3	99	58.24
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	4	5	3		4	3	1	5	1	5	2	5	4	4	1	15	3	4	1	1	5	1	4	1	1	5	1	1	5	1	4	4	1	5	115	67.65
5	Teachers ability to organize the	5	5	1	5		5	3	5	3	5	1	5	1	5	1	5	1	1	5	3	3	1	1	1	5	1	5	5	1	5	1	3	3	3	3	106	62.35
6	Behavior of teachers	5	4	5	5		4	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	1	4	3	1	3	4	5	4	5	5	4	2	1	5	5	5	5	5	1	4	2	5	134	78.82
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	1		1	1	5	1	1	1	5	5	1	1	5	3	1	5	1	5	1	5	2	1	5	5	1	1	5	5	5	5	2	3	104	61.18
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	5	3	1	3	5	5	3	5	1	2	5	1	5	5	3	3	4	5	1	3	2	5	5	1	5	5	5	1	1	4	5	2	5	122	71.76
9	Test conducted well in time by	5	5	1	5		5	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	3	1	1	2	4	5	3	1	3	3	1	4	1	1	5	5	2	4	124	72.94
10	Ideas and concept were presented	5	4	5		5	4	2	5	2	5	5	2	2	4	4	5	3	5	4	5	4	3	3	4	5	3	1	3	5	2	3	4	4	2	3	125	
	Average % Point																												_		_	_	_		-	_		67.94




Subject-MP

No	Particular/Points								_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_																				
		,	2	3		1	T.	1 -		1000	-				_		_	Fee	dback	form	No.						_	_	_								
	Subject knowledge of teachers	-		3	4	2	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		1					_	_								
-		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	-	-		-	10		10	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30					Total	*
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	3	2		1		-	-	-	-	-	3	3	5	4	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5			23	30	31	32	33	34		
20	Teachers willingness to help	-	-	-	1	+ "	3	1 3	1	5	1	5	5	3	5	1	5	1	1	5	4	5	-				-	-	,	2	5	5	5	5	5	166	97.65
3	THE PERSON AND RESERVED TO SERVED THE	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	A	5	5	1						-	-	3	3	4	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	4					
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5		-	1	1	1		-	-	-	-	3	1	3	5	4	1	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	1	1			-	-	*	,	3	135	79.41
-	T. 1 - 1T	2	4	5	5	1	2	5	5	2	3	2	5	4	2	4	4	2	5	2	1		-	2			-	-	3	1	5	5	5	5	5	123	72.35
5	Teachers ability to organize the	5	5	5	5	5	1 2	5	5	5	1	1	1	1	e		1	-	-	-	-	1	- 4	2	1	2	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	121	71.18
6	Behavior of teachers			-	-	-	1		1	-	-	-	1	1	2	1	2	2	5	5	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	1	1	5	2		2	2		700	
_	0	3	3	1 3	2	1 5	1 2	5	5	5	4	1	1	5	5	4	5	1	2	5	4	1	5						2		-	-	-	4)	116	68.24
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	5	5	1 5	5	5	5	1 5	1	1	-		1	-	1			-	-	-	,	-	3	2	1	5	5	5	5	2	4	5	5	136	80.00
	Overall teaching effectiveness		1.	-		1	1	-	1	-	1	1	13	4	5	5	5	1	5	5	2	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	146	
0		3	1 4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	1	11	1	4	5	4	4	3	4	5	4	1	5	2		2					-		-	-	-		85.88
9	Test conducted well in time by	5	3	4	5	1	5	5	5	5	1	-	1.	1	1		1	1		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	5	5	4	5	5.	5	148	87.06
10	Ideas and concept were presented	5	4	5	-		1	1	1	1	1	13	+	3	4	4	4	11	1	1	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	151	88.82
11	Average % Point	Ť	1 4	1 3	1 3		. 1 3	1	1 5	1	5	1 1	5	4	1	1	5	1	5	5	1	1	5	4	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	1	1	97	57.06




Subject-SE

Sr.No	Particular/Points								-		_	_	_			_																					
		1	2	3	1	6			-				_	_				Feed	dback	form	No.		_	-	-	_	_										
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5		-	1	13	6	/	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15			18	19	20											_		_	_	-	
	Clarity of teachers explanation	-31		2	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	-		-	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30				Te	otal	*
		1	3	5	5	1	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	2	E	-	-	3	2	5	4	4	3	3	2	5	5	2	5		20	31	32	33 3	14		
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	1	5	1	4	1	5	5	1	1	-	1	3	3	5	5	3	5	2	3	5	2	1	2	1	5	2	-	3	5	4	5	5	2 1	152	89.41
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	3	4	5	1	1	1	-	1	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	4	2	1	4	3	2	2		-	-	3	5	5	5	5	5	2 1	130	76.47
5	Teachers ability to organize the	5	1	-	1.	3	1	2	13	4	5	5	5	1	4	5	1	4	5	2	4	4	2	2	2	-	3	2	5	5	5	4	4	5	2 1	124	72.94
6	Behavior of teachers	1	-	3	2	3	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1	1	5	1	5	1	3	3	5	1	2	-	2	5	2	5	5	5	4	4	5	2 1		75.29
~	Sincerity of teachers	5		5	5	2	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	c		-		2	3	3	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	2 1	520	75.88
-		5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	1	-	-	-	-	3		-4	5	2	2	1	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5			85.29
-	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	5	4	4	2	5	5	2	2	3	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	4	5			
9	Test conducted well in time by	5	5	4		1	-	-	1	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	2	4	4	3	1	2	5	5	2	5	5	5	4	4	5			83.53
10	Ideas and concept were presented		1	-	3	14	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	1	3	5	3	4	4	2	1	3	5	5	2	4	5	5	4	1	-			85,29
_	Average % Point	5	1	5	1	1	5	1	1	4	1	5	1	1	1	5	1	1	1	3	1	5	1	2	1	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5		98	81.18 57.65




Subject-DSBDA

Sr.No	Particular/Points				0					00 100						F	eedba	ck fo	rm No	o.											_			
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	Total	×
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	2	2	2	2	5	5	2	5	2	5	5	2	2	2	5	2	5	2	5	5	2	2	5	5	2	5	2	5	5	31	110	70.97
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	4	5	5	4	2	5	5	5	1	5	5	2	4	5	2	3	2	5	4	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	130	83.87
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	2	5	2	1	5	5	2	5	2	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	4	5	128	82.58
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	4	4	5	3	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	2	2	5	2	5	5	5	130	83.87
5	Teachers ability to organize the	5	5	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	5	5	2	5	1	5	2	4	2	5	1	1	5	1	5	22	1	1	5	1	5	5	110	70.97
6	Behavior of teachers	5	4	2	5	4	1	1	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	1	1	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	125	80.65
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	5	5	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	5	5	2	2	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	4	5	115	74.19
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	2	5	5	4	5	5	1	2	4	5	4	5	2	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	131	84.52
	Test conducted well in time by	5	5	4	5	5	5	1	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	1	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	136	87.74
-	Ideas and concept were presented	5	4	5	5	4	5	2	5	5	5	1	5	1	4	1	5	1	4	5	1	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	127	81.94
	Average % Point						-			-																								80.13




Subject-Al

		_	_												F	eedba	ck for	m No										_				Total	%
Particular/Points	_	_				-			0	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	30	1010	- 50
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	15	-		-	-		5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	147	94.84
Subject knowledge of teachers	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	5	4	2	3	2		2	5	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	123	79.35
	5	5	5	2	2	2	2	5	2	5	5	5	5	2	2	2	5	2	3	3	-	-		5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	137	85.16
	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	4	4	5	2	2	5	4	3	5	5	2	2	3		2	2	5	5	A	5	5	5	5		78.71
	5	4	4	2	5	5	5	2	4	5	5	5	4	4	2	2	4	2	2	3	4	5	3	-		2	4	5	5	5	5	1	88.39
	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	2	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	2	5	5	3	2	5		,					-	94.84
	-		-	-	-	6	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	2	4	,	,	-	-		80.65
The state of the s	3	4	3	3	1 3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		2		4	2	4	2	5	2	5	3	2	5	5	2	4	5	2	3		
	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	3	3	4	-	-	-	-		5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	147	94.8
	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	3	5	2	-	5	2	5	4	2	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	134	86.45
Test conducted well in time by	5	5	4	4	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	2	4	2	5	-	-	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	146	94.19
Ideas and concept were presented	5	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	4	5	2 1	2		-		-								87.74
	Particular/Points Subject knowledge of teachers Clarity of teachers explanation Teachers willingness to help Teachers ability to motivate Teachers ability to organize the Behavior of teachers Sincerity of teachers Overall teaching effectiveness Test conducted well in time by Ideas and concept were presented	Subject knowledge of teachers 5 Clarity of teachers explanation 5 Teachers willingness to help 5 Teachers ability to mativate 5 Teachers ability to organize the 5 Behavior of teachers 5 Sincerity of teachers 5 Overall teaching effectiveness 5 Test conducted well in time by 5	Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 Clarity of teachers explanation 5 5 Teachers willingness to help 5 5 Teachers ability to maxivate 5 4 Teachers ability to organize the 5 5 Behavior of teachers 5 4 Sincenty of teachers 5 4 Overall teaching effectiveness 5 4 Test conducted well in time by 5 5	1 2 3	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4 5 6	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 <t< td=""><td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 <</td><td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 3 Clarity of teachers explanation 5 5 5 2 2 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 Teachers willingness to help 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 2 2 5 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5</td><td> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 </td><td> Particular/Points</td><td> Particular/Points</td><td> Particular/Points</td><td> Particular/Points</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 3 5 5 4 5 5 5 5</td><td> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 16 15 15 </td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 2 2 2 2 2</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 2 3 24 25 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 73 24 25 26 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 2 3 24 25 5 5 4 25 5 4 25 5 4 25 5 4 25 5 4 25 5 5 4 25 5 5 4 25 5 5 5</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 27 23 24 25 26 27 28 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 2 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 73 24 25 26 27 28 29 Subject knowledge of teachers Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 5</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 73 24 25 76 27 28 79 30 Subject knowledge of teachers cyplanation 5 5 5 5 2 2 2 2 5 5 5 5 2 2 2 2 5 5 5 5</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 27 23 24 25 76 27 28 29 30 30 30 Subject knowledge of teachers S 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</td><td>Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 27 23 24 25 76 27 28 29 30 30 30 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 27 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 30 30 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 25 26 25 26 25 26 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26</td></t<>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 <	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 3 Clarity of teachers explanation 5 5 5 2 2 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 Teachers willingness to help 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 2 2 5 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Particular/Points	Particular/Points	Particular/Points	Particular/Points	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 3 5 5 4 5 5 5 5	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 16 15 15	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 2 2 2 2 2	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 2 3 24 25 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 73 24 25 26 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 2 3 24 25 5 5 4 25 5 4 25 5 4 25 5 4 25 5 4 25 5 5 4 25 5 5 4 25 5 5 5	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 27 23 24 25 26 27 28 Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 2 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 73 24 25 26 27 28 29 Subject knowledge of teachers Subject knowledge of teachers 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 5 5 5 5	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 73 24 25 76 27 28 79 30 Subject knowledge of teachers cyplanation 5 5 5 5 2 2 2 2 5 5 5 5 2 2 2 2 5 5 5 5	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 27 23 24 25 76 27 28 29 30 30 30 Subject knowledge of teachers S 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Particular/Points 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 27 23 24 25 76 27 28 29 30 30 30 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 27 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 30 30 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 25 26 25 26 25 26 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26




Subject-WT

Sr.No	Particular/Points	_		_												Fe	edba	ck for	m No			_	_	_	-	-	_	_		_				
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20			100				_	_					
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	2	5	5	5	2	5	2	5	5	5			-	10		10	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	30	Total	%
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	2	2	5	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	2	-	2	2	9	4	3	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	2	2	5	5	5	2	5	130	83.87
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	4	,			2	-	-	-	3	2	2	4	2	2	5	2	5	2	2	5	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	106	68.39
	Teachers ability to motivate		-		3	3	-	5	3	5	2	2	5	4	5	5	4	3	5	5	2	2	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	127	81.94
-	Teachers ability to organize the	5	2	5	5	2	5	5	2	5	3	5	2	2	2	2	4	2	5	5	4	5	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	120	77.42
5	Behavior of teachers	2	5	5	2	5	2	2	2	2	2	5	2	5	5	3	2	2	2	5	5	2	5	2	5	5	2	5	2	5	3	5	106	68.39
b		5	5	5	5	5	2	2	5	2	4	5	5	2	5	4	2	2	5	2	2	5	5	2	5	5	5	2	2	5	2	-	117	75.48
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	5	5	5	5	2	2	5	5	5	5	1	6	6		2	-	-	-	-		1	-				1	1:	-	3	-	
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	4	5	4	5	2	5	5	2	5	3		-	-		1	3	4	5	1 2	-	5	E	5	1 5	1 3	5	3	2	3	5	139	89.68
9	Test conducted well in time by	5	3	2	5	2	5	5	-	2	1	-	3	-	3	4	4	3	4	1	-	3	3	5	1 5	3	5	3	1 2	5	2	5	126	81.29
10	Ideas and concept were presented	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	5	5	3	2	2	4	2	2	5	1	5	2	1	5	2	1 2	5	1 2	5	3	1 5	118	1
	Average % Point			-	-	1 3	1 3	13	1 3	1 2	1 3	1 2	1 2	1 4	1 2	3)	1	1 5	1 5	1 4)	1 2	1 4	1 3	1 2	1 2	1 2	1 2	1,	3	1 2	13/	79.10



Subject-SMA

Sr.No	Particular/Points															F	eedba	ck fo	rm No).		_			-		_	_	_					
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	0.5		52			_		Total	%
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	5	5	4	5	2	5	4	2	5	5	5	5	E	-	-	-	5	1	- 21		23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	10101	
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	3	2	5	3	5	2	5	2	5	2	-	3	2	13	3	3	2		4	4	3	3	2	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	135	87.10
2	Teachers willingness to help	5	-	-	-	1	-	2	3	-	3	2	5	- 2	2	2	5	3	5	2	3	2	2	2	2	5	2	2	5	5	3	5	103	66.45
	Teachers ability to motivate		5	3	3	4	2	1 2	1	4	1	1	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	2	5	4	2	2	3	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	120	77.42
4	Teachers ability to organize the	5	3	4	5	3	5	5	5	4	2	2	2	2	2	5	2	2	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	2	2	5	5	3	4	101	65.16
5	Company of Astronomy Company Company (Company)	5	4	5	2	2	2	2	2	4	5	5	2	4	22	5	2	5	2	2	2	5	2	3	2	5	2	2	5	5	4	5	124	80.00
6	Behavior of teachers	5	5	5	5	2	5	2	5	2	5	5	5	5	12	2	5	5	5	2	2	5	2	2	2	5	2	2	6	-		5		
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	5	3	5	2	5	4	Α.	5	5		E	-	-		4	2	5	2	2	1	-	-	-	2	-	3	3	5	129	83.23
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	5	5	5	1	-	-	-	1	1 22		3	3	-	2	3	4	-	2	-	2	2	2	3	3	5	2	5	5	5	5	129	83.23
9	Test conducted well in time by	-	1		_	1	1	13	1 5	1	22		1	5	5	1 3	5	2	5	-	4	2	-	2	1	5	5	- 2	2	5	5	5	140	90.32
	Ideas and concept were presented)	3	4		-	15	5	1 2	4	5	5	5	5	4	2	2	3	5	3	4	4	2	2	3	2	5	2	4	5	5	4	123	79.35
10	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3	3	2	1	2	3	5	5	2	2	5	5	5	129	83.23
11	Average % Point																																	79.55





Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engineering & Technology, Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id.no.PU/PN.Engg./445/2012) +91-2112-283185 * Fax: (02112) 283185

Web :www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

*Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

Ref. No. SPCE / /20 - 20

Date-15/02/2023

(A.Y. 2022-23 Semester-I)

Feedback Action Taken Report

- 1. The feedback was conducted at the end of semester- I and semester- II before university examination.
- 2. The feedback from students was taken on a range of 0-5 scale and calculated in average percentage.
- Feedback analysis was communicated to all the faculties by conducting departmental meeting.
- 4. As per the methodology set by department, appreciation letters are given to faculties who are having average of both mid-semester and end-semester feedback more than 75%, whereas letter of concern/suggestion is given to faculties who are having average of both mid-semester and end-semester feedback less than 50%.
- 5. The letter of appreciation was given to 6 faculties for Semester-I Of A.Y.2022-23

Sr. No.	Name of Faculty	Subject	Ratings (%)
1	Prof. Bhapkar A.D.	STQA	80.40
2	Prof. Pawar S.D.	DAA	79.00
3	Prof. Waghmode P.S.	BCT	75.80
1	Prof. Shah S.N.	OOMD	78.84

6. General instructions based on the suggestions given by students such as power-point presentations, making a creative use of black-board for teaching and learning process, taking more sessions for numerical/problems/difficult topics, etc. were given to all the faculties of the department.



Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engineering & Technology, Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id.no.PU/PN.Engg./445/2012) +91-2112-283185 * Fax: (02112) 283185

Web:www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

*Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

Academic Year 2022-23

Feedback Report

Electrical Engineering Department



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: - SE Electrical

Semester-1 Year:- 22 - 23


1. Student evaluation for faculty

	Grade 5-Excellent	4- Very Good	3-Gc	ood :	2-Average	1-Poor		
Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject						
		PGT	ЕМ-Ш	MS	ADE	ЕМІ		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	2	3	4	2	4		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	3	3	3	5		
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	5	4	4		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	3	4	5	C		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	4	3	4	(1		
6	Behavior of teachers	5	۷	24	3	2		
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	2	3	4	2		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	3	3	2	3		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	4	3	2	4		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	2	5	CA	3	3		

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Α	_
1	Departmental academic co-operation		rety dood		Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
. 4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities	~				

ggestion for future improvement of department:-



SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:- SE Electrical

Semester-1 Year:- 22-23

1. Student evaluation for faculty

	Grade 5-Excellent	4- Very Goo	d 3-Go	ood	2-Average	1-Poor		
Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject						
		PGT	ЕМ-Ш	MS	ADE	EMI		
l	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	4	4	1		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	21	5	5	3		
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	4	5	5	2		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	4	3	5	3		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	CP	4	5	4		
6	Behavior of teachers	3	9	3	3	3		
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	4	4	4	4		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	3	4	4	4		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	4	3	2	4		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	2	3	20	_		

2. General Evaluation of Institute

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	1			Trotage	1001
2	Library facility of college	-6				
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college		1			
5	Administration of college	, ,	V			
6-	Discipline in college	1			-	
7	Environment of college campus		,/	_		
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities					
				1		

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Rec	ord No:-	
Rev	sion:-	
Date	1-	-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:- SE Electrical

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester- I Year:-

	Grade 5-Excellent	4- Very G	ood 3-0	iood :	2-Average	1-Poor		
Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject						
		PGT	EM-III	MS	ADE	EMI		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	3	3	3	3		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	B	4	21	2	2		
3	Teachers willingness to help	2	2	LP	3	4		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	0		ч	u		
5	Teachers ability to organize the fectures	3	2	3	2	5		
6	Behavior of teachers	3	2	4	2	4		
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	3	5	4	3		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	4	44	2	2		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	4	5	5	5		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	2	5	5	5		

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

7	General	Evaluation	of Institute
-	General	Evaluation	Of Inchine

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	1	, my occu	Good	Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college		1			
3	Laboratories of department	1		_		_
4	Exam cell of college	1		_		
5	Administration of college		~		-	
6	Discipline in college	1	~			
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college	1	1/	-		
10	Departmental extra activities	1				



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:- SE Electrical

Semester- I Year:-


1. Student evaluation for faculty

	Grade 5-Excellent	4- Very (i00d 3-(iood 2	2-Average	1-Poor
Sř. Nu.	Criteria					
		PGT	ЕМ-Ш	MS	ADE	EMI
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	1	24	9	2
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	LI	3	1	2	1
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	1	3	2	2
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	2	3	2	
5	Teachers ability to organize the fectures	u	2	2	2	3
6	Behavior of teachers	2	2	10	0_	11
7	Sincerity of teachers	LÞ	Ц	7.	1	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	2	11	3	1,
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	L	1.6	1	A	4
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	LP	4	4	נו	7

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	A T	ъ.
1	Departmental academic co-operation		, any Good	0000	Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college		./			
3	Laboratories of department	1./				
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college	1	~			
6	Discipline in college		4			
7	Environment of college campus		_			
8	Training and placement office	-				
9	Infrastructure of college	1	*			
10	Departmental extra activities	1	-	-		


Sr.No	Particular/Points			_		-		_	SE	A/Y	2022-2			SEM-	1								
		1	2	3	1 4	Te		_	_	Fe	edback	c form	No.			_							
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	5	5	1	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Total	%
Lag	Clarity of teachers	-		-	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
2	explanation	2	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	2	5	1	5		-		0.582	-	3	5	5	94	94
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	-		-		5	4	4	5	5	5	1	5	5	82	82
4	Teachers ability to	4	-	-	-	-	3	2	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	1	1	5	5	5	83	83
4	Teachers ability to	4	4	5	3	4	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	2	5	5	-			
5	organize the lectures	3	5	5	5	3	2	5	1	5	5		_		-	-	-	3	2	5	3	86	86
6	Behavior of teachers	4	4	5	5	4	5	5				5	5	5	5	4	5	5	3	4	3	83	83
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	5	4	5	3	5	2	5	1	5	5	5	4	4	3	5	5	5	1	5	85	-
	Overall teaching effectiveness	4					-		2	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	3	85	85
8	The state of the s	4	4	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	5	1	5	3				03
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	5	4	5	3	1	5	5						-			3	3	5	1	83	83
1	Ideas and concept were	1				-	-	3	-	4	4	1	5	5	1	5	5	5	1	1	5	73	73
10	presented clearly by teachers	2	4	5	5	4	5	2	5	5	5	1	5	1	4	5	5	5	5	5		70	
												12									*	79	79
									5	Subject-	- PGT							P	verage				83




SE A/Y 2022-2023

SEM I

3 3	3	5	5	5	6	1 7															
3	_	5	5	1 1		/	8	9	10	11			-							Total	1%
-	5			5	5	5	5	4			12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		1,0
3		2	2	4	5	5	5		5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	93	9
	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	2	2	5	2	5	5	5	81	8
3	4	4	5	5	5		-	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	92	9
2	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	92	9
2	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	92	9
3	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	- 04	
4	4	5	-				2	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	94	9
3	-		5	3	2	5	5	2	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	87	99
-	5	4	4	2	5	5	5	5	2	5	2	5	4	4	4	2	5	5	5		81
4	2	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	4	3	,	-			84
	4	4 2	4 2 5	4 2 5 4	4 2 5 4 3	2 3 4 3 5	4 2 5 4 3 5 5	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5		4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 2	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 2 5	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 4 4 4	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 4 4 2	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 2 5 5 4 4 2 2 5	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 2 5 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 81



Sr.No	Particular/Points				_			_			-					.(0)							
		1	2	3	1 4	5	1 6	1 7		F	eedbac	k form	No.										
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	1		1	+	1	+	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Total	%
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	1	5		1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	100	10
3	Teachers willingness to help		1	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	4	5	5	92	92
	Teachers ability to	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	96	96
4	motivate Teachers ability to	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	4	5	4	5						
5	organize the lectures Behavior of teachers	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				5	4	5	5	93	93
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	100	100
	Overall teaching	5	_ 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	99	99
8	effectiveness	5	4	5	4	5	5						3	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	97	97
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	3	4	5			5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	95	95
	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by	-	3	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	3	4	3	4	5	4	5	5	88	88
10	teachers	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4									
											77.1	-	5	4	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	97	97
											Subject	- MS						P	verage	!			96




A/Y 2022-2023

SEM-1

Sr.No	Particular/Points	ck f	orm N	Vo.				-		_	- 1//												
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	10	T				da							Total	9
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	3	3	3	4	2	3	1	5	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Total	,
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	-	3	1	3	5	3	3	1	3	3	5	3	62	6
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	2	3	2	1	5	5	4	5	2	5	5	1	5	3	5	5	5	5	86	8
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	3	5	1	5	5	2	3	5	2	1	1	1	5	5	5	1	1	4:	1	58	5
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	4	3	5	5		_	3	4	1	3	5	2	4	5	3	4	5	5	1	71	7
6	Behavior of teachers	1	5	5	5	5	3	5	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	2	5	5	5	85	8
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	1	5	5	1	84	8
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	5	1	5	4	5		5	4	4	5	1	5	1	2	5	2	5	3	5	79	7
9	Test conducted well in	3	5	-	-	+		5	1	4	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	5	5	4:	3	81	8
	time by teachers Ideas and concept were	3	3	1	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	3	3	2	4	1	5	4	3	4	1	72	7:
10	presented clearly by teachers	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	1	4	5	1	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	3	5		
					-							, 1				2550			verage		5	83	83
																			age				76

Subject- ADE




A/Y 2022-2023

SEM-1

Sr.No	Particular/Points					-	_	-	_				-2023		SEN	1-1							
	6.17	1	2	3	1 4	5	1 6	1 -	_	F	eedbad	k form	No.								Т		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers				+	7	10	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Total	%
2	Clarity of teachers	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	١.			1			
	explanation Teachers willingness to	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	_			17	13	13	4	5	4	5	5	95	95
3	help	5	5	5	-	1.			1	1 3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	100	100
4	Teachers ability to motivate				5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	100	100
5	Teachers ability to	5	_ 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5				
	organize the lectures Behavior of teachers	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	-					3	3	- 5	5	5	100	100
0	The state of the s	5	4	5	4	5	5					5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	98	98
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	95	95
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5						-	3	3	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	99	99
	Test conducted well in		4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	96	0-
	time by teachers Ideas and concept were	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						30	96
10 1	presented clearly by	4	-		_								-	-	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	94	94.0
	CALLAININ.	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	99	99
																		A	verage				98

Subject- EMI



Shri Someshwar Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's



Someshwar Engineering College,

Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id.no.PU/PN.Engg./445/2012)
+91-2112-283185 * Fax: (02112) 283185

Web :www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

*Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

Ref. No. SPCE / /20 - 2023

Date- / /20 23

(SE A.Y. 2022-23 Semester-I)

Feedback Analysis

- The feedback was conducted at the end of semester- I and semester- II before university examination.
- 2. The feedback from students was taken on a range of 0-5 scale and calculated in average percentage.
- 3. Feedback analysis was communicated to all the faculties by conducting departmental meeting.
- 4. The letter of appreciation was given to 5 faculties for Semester-I of A. Y. 2022-23

Sr. No.	Name of Faculty	Subject	Ratings (%)
1	Prof. Bhise S.S	MS	96
2	Prof. Jarande N.S	PGT	83
3	Prof. Shinde P. S	ADE	76
4	Prof. All respective staff	EMI	98
5	Prof. Gadade M.	EM-III	87

5. General instructions based on the suggestions given by students such as power-point presentations, making a creative use of black-board for teaching and learning process, taking more sessions for numerical/problems/difficult topics, etc. were given to all the faculties of the department.





SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:- SE Electrical

I. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester- II	Tear:- 2022-	23

	_ Grade 5-Excellent	4- Very G	ood. 3-G	ood	2-Average	1-Poor
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
	Citida	PS-I	NMCP	NA	EM-I	FMA
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	5	1	2
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	5-	3	3	2
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	5	3	2	. 2
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	4	4	3	2
5	Teachers ability to organize the fectures	4	5	4	9	2
6	Behavior of teachers	4	5	_ 3	2	2
	Sincerity of teachers	4	5	2	5	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	5	3	2	5
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	4	4	5	5
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	5	3	2	5

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college		~			
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college	· V				
6	Discipline in college		1			7
-	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office	1/				
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities		7			



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:- SE Electrical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester- II Year: - 22 - 23

	Grade 5-Excellent	4- Very (100a. 3-C	lood	2-Average	J-Poor
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	PS-I	NMCP	NA	EM-I	FMA
2		5	5	5	5	4
	Clarity of teachers explanation	1				+ :
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	2	S	5	19
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	5	2	5	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the fectures		5	_5	_5	3
6	Behavior of teachers	3	5	2	4	2
2	Sincerity of teachers	-5	5	5	4	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	5	5	3	5
		3	5	5	3	
_	Test conducted well in time by teachers	1	5	2		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by leachers				3	4
ur sna		5	5	5	4	3

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

Sr.No.	Particulars	T p 11	T			
r	Departmental academic co-operation	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college	-				100
3	Laboratories of department	+				
4	Exam cell of college	1				
5	Administration of college	_	~			
6	Discipline in college	1				
2	Environment of college campus	1	V			
8	Training and placement office	1				
9	Infrastructure of college	1	V			
10	Departmental extra activities	10	/			



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department;- SE Electrical

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester- II Year:- 2022-23

	Grade 5-Excellent	4- Very G	3-0	lood	2-Average	J-Poor
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	PS-I	NMCP	NA	EM-f	FMA
2		_5	2	1	5	1
3	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	5	. 4		+-
4	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	4	5	2
5	Teachers ability to motivate	5	-	-	5	5
6	Teachers ability to organize the fectures	4	5	4	5	5
	Behavior of teachers	1	5	3	4	3
	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	4	4	3
	Overall teaching effectiveness	5			2	7
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	-3-1	2	_5	_ 5	4
0	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by	9-	_2	3	5	3
		5	2	2	3	7

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

Sr.No.	2. General Evaluation of Institute Particulars	T				
1	Departmental academic co-operation	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college	- V			3.	1 001
3	Laboratories of department	1				
4	Exam cell of college	1				_
5	Administration of college	-				
6	Discipline in college	-	-			
7	Environment of college campus		V			
	Training and placement office	1				
9	Infrastructure of college		~			
10	Departmental extra activities	-				
		1 1				



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department;- SE Electrical

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester-II Year:- 2022 - 23

	- Grade 5-Excellent	4- Very (ood 3-C	lood	2-∧ verage	J-Poor
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		- On 1 at 10 - Pr
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	PS-I	NMCP	NA	EM-f	FMA
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	5	2	4	3
3	Teachers willingness to help	_5_	_5	3	5	2
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	_5	_ 5	3	5
5	Teachers ability to organize the fectures	3	5	_5	5	14
6	Behavior of teachers	3-	5	_3	4	2
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	3	3	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	5	2	3	7
	Test conducted well in time by teachers	185	4	5	3	3
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by	6	2	5	4	3
	leachers leachers	1	5	5	4	3

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

Sr.No.	Particulars	E. II				
ł	Departmental academic co-operation	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college	~				1001
3	Laboratories of department	-	~			
4	Exam cell of college	-				
5	Administration of college	-	~			
6	Discipline in college	1~				No.
7	Environment of college campus	-	V			
	Training and placement office	1				
9	Infrastructure of college	-	V			
0	Departmental extra activities	-				
			~			



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:- SE Electrical

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester-II Year:- 2022-23

COTAN D	Grade 5-Excellent		ood 3-0	оод	2-Average	J-Poor
Sŕ. No.	Criteria		Subject			
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	PS-I	NMCP	NA	EM-I	FMA
-		5	5	3	1	1
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	5		1-	9
3	Teachers willingness to help	,		4	3	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	2	_2	1 4	4
5		4	4	2	3	3
6	Teachers ability to organize the fectures	4	5	3	4	7
-	Behavior of teachers	4	5	3	-	7
7	Sincerity of teachers	5			3	3
8	Overall teaching effectiveness		2	3	5	4
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	5	3	5	4
10	Ideas and concent was	5	5	3	5	4
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	5	4	2	3

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

Sr.No.	2. General Evaluation of Institute Particulars	Excellent	Ty o d			
1	Departmental academic co-operation	LACEREN	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college	12				
3	Laboratories of department	+	~			
4	Exam cell of cotlege	1~				
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college	1				
7	Environment of college campus	-	V			
	Training and placement office		_			
9	Infrastructure of college	-	V			
10	Departmental extra activities	1				
			~			



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No	
Revision:-	
Date:-	-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: - SE Electrical

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester- II Year:- 2022-23

	Grade 5-Excellent	4- Very Goo	od 3-Ge	hood	2-Average	1-Poor
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
		PS-I	NMCP	NA	EM-I	FMA
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	3	5	4	5
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	3	2	7
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	5	4	3	4
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	5	4	4	3
5	Teachers ability to organize the fectures	2	4	5	4	5
6	Behavior of teachers	4	2	5	5	4
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	5	4	5	3
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	5	4	5	3
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	5	3	4	4
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	2	5	4	5	2

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college		~	-		
5	Administration of college		V			
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus	1				
-8	Training and placement office		V			
9	Infrastructure of college			-		
10	Departmental extra activities	1				



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:- SE Electrical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester- II Year: - 2022 - 23

	Grade 5-Excellent	4- Very C	iood 3-0	iood	2-Average	1-Poor
Sŕ. No.	Criteria			Subject		
		PS-I	NMCP	NA	EM-I	FMA
Ì	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	5	2	3
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4		5	5
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5		1 1	5
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	5	- K	4	1
5	Teachers ability to organize the fectures	2	4		2	5
6	Behavior of teachers	4	5	5	1	5
7	Sincerity of teachers	2	4	5	2	5
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	5	5	5	
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	1	4	4	4	2
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	2	5	5	2

Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	- P
T	Departmental academic co-operation	1	111) 0000	Good	Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department	1	~			
4	Exam cell of college	-				
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college	1	/			
7	Environment of college campus	1./	-			
	Training and placement office	10	1			
9	Infrastructure of college		-			
10	Departmental extra activities	1				



SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

I	Record No:-	
F	tevision:-	-
£	ate:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department:- SE Electrical

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester- II Year: - 2022-23

	Grade 5-Excellent	4- Very C	iood 3-G	ood :	2-Average	1-Poor
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
		PS-I	NMCP	NA	EM-I	FMA
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	4	5	5
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	3	4	3
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	5	5	4
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	5	4	3	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the fectures	5	4	3	3	3
6	Behavior of teachers	4	5	3	5	3
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	4	4	3
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	4	4	4	3
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	4	4	4	3
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	5	3	4	3


Your suggestion for future improvement of department:-

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	D
1	Departmental academic co-operation		, or y dood	Good	Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college		./			
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office	**				
9	Infrastructure of college	1				
10	Departmental extra activities		./			

SE AN 2022-2023 SEM -II

- No	Particular/Points									Fee	dback	form	No.			Name and Add						Total	%
OF.INO	rarucum/r ours	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	(A. A. A	7,000
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	94	94
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	1	5	5	82	82
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	5	3	5	4	1	1	5	5	5	81	81
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	5	4	3	2	5	5	5	3	77	77
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	3	5	5	3	4	3	86	86
6	Behavior of teachers	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	1	5	80	80
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	3	3	4	5	5	5	5	2	5	3	86	86
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	5	4	3	4	3	3	4	1	5	3	5	1	72	72
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	4	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	4	4	5	5	1	3	5	5	1	1	5	77	77
	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	5	4	4	5	5	2	4	5	5	5	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	1	85	85
10																		\perp	_	Aver			82


Subject- PS-I



AY 2022-2023 SEM -II

No :	Particular Points	Fee	dbac	k fo	rm?	ia.						Y JURS	ne-un	100-00							898	Total	9.
,10	Tartit Miss 4 Comme	1	2			5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		-
ı	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	97	97
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	5	4	5	45	5	3	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	96	96
3	Teachers willingness to help	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	98	93
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	4	5	5	5	1	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	94	9.
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	1	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	1	4	5	5	5	93	9,
6	Behavior of teachers	5	4	5	5	5	1	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	95	9
7	Sincerity of teachers	1	5	4	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	96	9
S	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	97	9
	Test conducted well in time by teachers	1	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	4	2	5	5	5	90	9
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	95	9
_			_			Ш	_				_	_	_	_				_		-	Ave	mae	1


Subject- NMCP



A/Y 2022-2023 SEM -

Sr.No	Particular/Points									Fee	dback		No.			SEM							
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Total	9
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	3	4	3	4	4	5	1	2	3	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	79	7
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	2	3	3	5	3	3	4	3	5	4	3	3	4	3	5	5	4	5	5	75	7
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	4	5	5	3	4	5	4	5	4	2	3	4	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	83	8
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	3	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	2	3	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	86	8
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	2	3	5	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	78	7
6	Behavior of teachers	4	5	3	5	5	5	5	4	3	3	3	3	5	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	84	8
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	5	4	4	5	4	5	1	5	3	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	85	8
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	4	4	4	2	5	5	5	5	3	3	3	4	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	82	82
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	3	4	3	5	5	3	3	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	4	5	4	5	5	80	80
	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	1							,					,	,	,			,		,	81	0.1
10		3	1	3	3	2)	5	2	2	4	4	4	4)	5)	2)	5	Averag		81


Subject- NA



A/Y 2022-2023 SEM -II

r.No	Particular/Points	-		_		-				_												Total	%
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		
1	Subject knowledge of	3	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	3	1	3	3	5	3	79	7
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	4	4	3	5	3	4	5	5	5	4	3	5	2	4	3	5	5	5	5	82	8
3	Teachers	4	3	5	2	5	4	3	5	5	5	3	4	1	3	3	5	1	1	4	1	67	6
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	5	3	2	5	3	5	5	5	5	2	3	2	4	4	3	4	5	5	1	76	7
5	Teachers ability to organize the	4	4	3	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	1	4	5	4	5	4	2	5	5	5	81	8
6	Behavior of teachers	3	3	5	4	3	5	2	4	4	3	2	3	5	5	3	5	1	5	5	1	71	7
7	Sincerity of	4	5	4	3	4	5	5	3	5	3	3	5	5	5	4	5	2	5	3	5	83	8
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	5	3	4	5	5	5	3	2	5	5	4	3	82	8
9	Test conducted	3	4	4	3	4	5	4	3	5	4	4	5	2	4	5	5	4	3	4	1	76	7
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	3	4	5	5	1	5	4	5	5	5	3	5	85	8:
			_		-	Ш	_				_		_		_	_	_	_	\dashv	Avera	ge	_	7


Subject- EM-I



A/Y 2022-2023 SEM -II

Sr.No	Particular/Points	_				_	_			Fee	dback	form	No.										4.4
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Total	%
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	4	5	5	3	2	3	4	1	3	2	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	77	77
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	3	3	3	2	4	4	4	3	2	3	3	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	76	76
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	2	4	5	5	2	4	4	5	5	3	4	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	84	84
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	2	4	3	5	4	5	3	5	4	3	3	5	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	81	81
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	3	3	5	5	4	4	2	3	3	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	82	82
6	Behavior of teachers	3	2	3	3	5	2	5	5	3	5	3	3	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	78	78
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	1	3	5	4	4	3	5	5	4	4	4	5	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	82	82
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	4	3	3	3	5	5	5	4	3	3	4	5	3	5	4	4	5	5	5	82	82
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	5	3	5	3	5	3	4	3	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	82	82
	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers					2			,		,	1	3	*	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	78	78
10		4	1	3	5	2)	5	5	4	3	4	3	2	3	J	2	2	_	Avera	_	7.0	72

Subject- FMA



Shri Someshwar Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's



Someshwar Engineering College,

Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id.no.PU/PN.Engg./445/2012)
+91-2112-283185 * Fax: (02112) 283185

Web:www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

*Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

Ref. No. SPCE / /20 - 2023

Date- / /2023

(A.Y. 2022-23 Semester-II)

Feedback Analysis

- 1. The feedback was conducted at the end of semester- I and semester- II before university examination.
- The feedback from students was taken on a range of 0-5 scale and calculated in average percentage.
- Feedback analysis was communicated to all the faculties by conducting departmental meeting.
- 4. The letter of appreciation was given to 5 faculties for Semester-II of A. Y. 2022-23.

Sr. No.	Name of Faculty	Subject	Ratings (%)
1	Prof. Jarande N.S.	PS-I	82
2	Assi. Prof. Gawade P.D	EM-I	78
3	Prof. Bhosale A.C.	NA	82
4	Prof. Sorate S.B	FMA	72
5	Prof. Bhise S.S.	NMCP	88

5. General instructions based on the suggestions given by students such as power-point presentations, making a creative use of black-board for teaching and learning process, taking more sessions for numerical/problems/difficult topics, etc. were given to all the faculties of the department.



Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engineering & Technology, Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id.no.PU/PN.Engg./445/2012) +91-2112-283185 * Fax: (02112) 283185


Web:www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

*Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

Academic Year 2022-23

Feedback Report

Mechanical Engineering Department



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-R

Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (VII)

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Aver	age 1	- Poor		
Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject						
		HVACR	DOM	TURBO	ΙE	OR		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	2	1	4	1	5		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	2	1	1	2	4		
3	Teachers willingness to help	2	1	4	1	5		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	1	4	2	4		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	2	1	4	1	5		
6	Behavior of teachers	2	î	6	2	4		
7	Sincerity of teachers	2	1	1	1	5		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	4	2	4		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	1	4	1	5		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	9	1	4	2	4		

General evaluation of institute Sr.No. Particulars Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor 1 Departmental academic co-operation 2 Library facility of college 3 Laboratories of department 4 Exam cell of college 5 Administration of college 6 Discipline in college Environment of college campus 7 Training and placement office 8 9 Infrastructure of college 10 Departmental extra activities

Your Suggestion for F	uture impr	ents	of Departm	ent/Institute	ion de de inotrate	Cyd
- Baying	you	all		1	definately	0



SOMESHWAR SHIESHAN PRASARAE MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY. SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No: Beylaton

One 8/4/rengy

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

3- Good

4- Very Good

Name of Department: -Mechanical

5- Excellent

Semester / Year -2022/23 (VII)

2- Average

	en total				
1.	Student	eval	uation	for	taculty

	20.0	Subject							
Sr. No.	Criteria	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	103	OR			
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4.	5	41	-2	Q.			
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	oly	3	4	3	75			
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	4	4	1	1			
4	Teachers ability to motivate	Z	4	4	3	*5			
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	11	4	4	4			
6	Behavior of teachers	4	3	4	ے	07			
7	Sincerity of teachers	1	41	1	4	4			
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	Z	-3	4	3			
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	4	3	4	9			
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	84	H	B	4.			

General evaluation of institute

2,	General evaluation of institute				1	
Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation			-		
2	Library facility of college				~	
3	Laboratories of department				~	
4	Exam cell of college				~	
5	Administration of college				~	
6	Discipline in college					L
7	Environment of college campus				~	
8	Training and placement office					1
9	Infrastructure of college				1	
10	Departmental extra activities					L-

Your Suggestion for Future improvement of Department / Institute:-



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF

ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision -	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical


Semester /Year:-2022/23 (VII)

Student	evaluation	for	faculty
	Student	Student evaluation	Student evaluation for

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Aver	age 1-	Poor			
Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject							
31.110.	Criteria	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	ΙĘ	OR			
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	2	1	4	1	5			
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	2	2	4	2	4			
3	Teachers willingness to help	2	1	4	1	5			
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	1	4	2	4			
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	2	1	5	1	5			
6	Behavior of teachers	2	î	5	2	4			
7	Sincerity of teachers	2	1	4	1	5			
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	4	\$	4			
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	1	4	1	5			
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	6	1	4	2	4			

	General evaluation of institute			2 4		
Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college				1_	
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office				1	
9	Infrastructure of college				Ī	
10	Departmental extra activities				1	

Your Suggestion for F	uture impr	ents	of Departmo	ent/Institute	ion de mottrate	Cxas
Raugna	. ^	= A I .		C Pro I	1 (R ₂) 11	
Sagrigo .	Soa	aw	MERE	failed	definately	44-7-6



Record No:-

Revision:-

Date:-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester /Year:-2022/23 (VII)

0					
Grade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	2 (1 1		
	D Likection	4- very Good	3- Good	2- Average	1 - Poor

Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
	-	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	ΙE	OR
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	5	3	5
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	5	5	5	
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	5		5
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	5	5	5	5
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	5	5	5
6	Behavior of teachers	3	5	5	5	-
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	5	,	5	Ç
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	4		5
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	5	5	5	
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	<u> </u>	5	5	5

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	0 1		
1	Departmental academic co-operation		very Good	Good	Average	Poor
^		L				
2	Library facility of college	L				
3	Laboratories of department	<u>~</u>				
4	Exam cell of college	L				
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college	- L				
7	Environment of college campus					
8						
	Training and placement office	_				
9	Infrastructure of college	V		-		
10	Departmental extra activities					
	\ \	V				

Your Suggestion for Future improvement of Department	/ Institute:-
--	---------------



Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

1. Student evaluation for faculty


Semester / Year: -2022/23 (VII)

			0 (1)	2 1	1 D
Grade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2- Average	1 - Poor
Grade	J- LACOHOII		A Second Control of the Control of t		

		Subject						
Sr. No.	Criteria	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	IE	OR		
ı	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	5	3	3	3		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	-4	4	3	4	4		
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	4	5	4	3		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	5	4	4	9		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	4	~	4	5		
6	Behavior of teachers	9	4	4	4	4		
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	4	4	4	4		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	9	4	4	4	9		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	(4	2	9	9		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	9	4	4	4		

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation			-		
2	Library facility of college		~			
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college			レ		
5	Administration of college		<u></u>			
6	Discipline in college		~	*		
7	Environment of college campus			-		
8	Training and placement office		~	-		
9	Infrastructure of college			~		
10	Departmental extra activities					L-

Your Suggestion for Future improvement of Department / Institute:-	



Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

1 - Poor

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (VII)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Av	erage	1 - Poor
				Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	IE	OR
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	5	4	5	1
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	3	4	2
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	4	5	4	2
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	5	4	5	1
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	5	5	5
6	Behavior of teachers	4	3	2	5	1
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	5	1
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	3	2	5	1
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	5	4	4	1
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	4	5	4	1

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation		1			
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college					-
6	Discipline in college		•			
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college		_			
10	Departmental extra activities					1

Your Suggestion for Future imp	rovement of D	epartment / Institute:-			
Give pro	pper	auden	nce	0 f	project
Arrangen	ent	Should	be	the	Te for
project	devel	opment	ya)	cocots	shap



SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record	I No:-
Revisi	on:-
Datas	21/1/2023

1 - Poor

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (VII)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Ave	erage	1 - Poor
	1	1		Subject		OP
r. No.	Criteria	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	IE	OR
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	3	3	3	3
	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	- 4	5	3	2
2	Teachers willingness to help	1	2	3	4	5
3		5	4	3	2	1
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5			9	5
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	\	2	3	5	1
6	Behavior of teachers	3	9		4	1
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	2	3	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	4	5		-
	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	4	3	1	
9	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	2	3	5	9	2

General evaluation of institute Poor Average Good 2. Very Good Excellent Particulars Sr.No. Departmental academic co-operation 1 Library facility of college 2 Laboratories of department 3 Exam cell of college 4 Administration of college 5 Discipline in college 6 Environment of college campus 7

DOO'T X Training and placement office Infrastructure of college 9 Departmental extra activities 10

Your Suggestion for Future improve	ement of Department / Institute:-	a Char	DASS
cocolc on to aning		DIFICO	106
out very	difficult to	SET	
		*/	



Record No:-Revision:-Date:-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester /Year:-2022/23 (VII)

r. No.	3- Excellent 4- Very		3- Good	2- Av	erage	1 - Poor
	Criteria	****		Subject		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	IE	OR
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	5	3	3	5
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	_3_	5	5.	1
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	3	4	_5	3
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	5	4	5	4
6	Behavior of teachers	5	4	5	4	5
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	5	4	5	4
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	5	4	5
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	5	4	5	4
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5 4	4	5	21	5

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good		1
1	Departmental academic co-operation	1	Try Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college		1/			1
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus					1
8	Training and placement office					V
9	Infrastructure of college	V				
10	Departmental extra activities				, _	

Your Suggestion	for Future improvement of Departmen		
and	department	department	laboratone



rain

SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-

Revision:-

Date: - 8/4/23

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (VII)

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Ave	erage	I - Poor
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
-	Subject Impuded Co.	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	ſΕ	OR
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	4	5	5	4
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	4	5	5	g
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	4	5	5	4
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	4	5	5	20
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	7.	5	5	4
6	Behavior of teachers	5	4	5	5	14
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	5		2,
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	5	5	4
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	4	S	5	4
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	4	5	5	4

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	~				3.01-3000-200
2	Library facility of college			~		
3	Laboratories of department					-
4	Exam cell of college					<u></u>
5	Administration of college	~				
6	Discipline in college		~			
7	Environment of college campus		~			
8	Training and placement office			,		-
9	Infrastructure of college			,		
10	Departmental extra activities					1 -

Your Suggestio	n for Future imp	rovement of Department / In	stitute:-			
Do	Tange	lectures	O.F	Alco	-1 -!	_
they	tedl	difficultie		1	des	0
/				120	ares a	DG.



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF

ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-

Revision -

Date: - 8/4/ 5023

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

4- Very Good

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

5- Excellent

Environment of college campus

Training and placement office

Departmental extra activities

Infrastructure of college

8

9

10

Semester /Year:-2022/23 (VII)

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Av	erage 1	- Poor
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
		HVACR	DOM	TURBO	ΙE	OR
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	_<	2	4	4	3
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	S		5	5	2
3	Teachers willingness to help	S	5	S	5	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	S	5	Ce	4	3
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	4	4	3
6	Behavior of teachers	G	4	4	4	3
7	Sincerity of teachers	S	5	4	4	3
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	5	S	5	3
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	Le	4	S	5	3
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	S	5	4	4	3
2.	General evaluation of institute				T	
Sr.No.	Particulars	Excelle	ent Very G	ood Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation		1	-		
2	Library facility of college			•		
3	Laboratories of department		V			
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college		~	-		
6	Discipline in college		~			
						1

Your Suggestion for Future improvement of Department / Institute:-



Record No:-	reserve ?
Revision:-	7
Date:	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (VII)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Ave	rage	1 - Poor
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		OR
	Citeria	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	IE	- OK
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	5	3	3	5
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	-4	3	3	•4
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	5	4	ئ	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	5	3	3	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	5	4	5
6	Behavior of teachers	4	3	4	3	3
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	5	4	4	4
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	3	4	5	4
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	~3~	2	3	2_
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	Б	4	3	5

General evaluation of institute Average Poor Very Good Good Excellent **Particulars** Sr.No. Departmental academic co-operation 1 Library facility of college 2 Laboratories of department 3 Exam cell of college 4 Administration of college 5 Discipline in college 6 7 Environment of college campus 8 Training and placement office 9 Infrastructure of college 10 Departmental extra activities

Your Suggestion for Future im	provement of Depa	artment / I	nstitute:-	
•	training		placement	Arrange
interviews	in	our's	COMPINE	manse
				- Colly



Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

1 - Poor

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester /Year:-2022/23 (VII)


2- Average

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Ave	rage	1 - P001
	(2.00%)			Subject	IE	OR
Sr. No.	Criteria	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	1E	-
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	کی	3	<u>ع</u>	- 7
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	. 4	4	1	5
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	3	3	5
	Teachers ability to motivate	4	4	4	4	5
4		-		3	3	5
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5			4	5
6	Behavior of teachers	4	4	3	,	5
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	بي	ડ	<u> </u>	-
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	4	3	7	1 5
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	3	3		5
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	4	3	4	5

2.	General evaluation of institute	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	very dood	0000		
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college		V			
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office		1/			
9	Infrastructure of college		V			
10	Departmental extra activities		V			

Your Suggestion	on for Futu	re improvem	ent of Departm	ent / Institute:-	<i>c</i> 1	
Give	more	notes.	leana	different	leach	different
differ	ont	Soft	2 dence	The state of	,	TO CONT
0.1		0 ,,		Tall Tall		



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-

Revision:-

Date:-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM Name of Department: -Mechanical

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (VII)

-	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Ave	rage 1	- Poor
Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject				
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	ΙE	OR
		3	5	4	9	2
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	5	3	4	3
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	5	4	3	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	3	5	4	3
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	4	5	4	4
6	Behavior of teachers	4	5	4	3	2
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	5	3	4	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	3	2	1
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	4	3	4	5
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	4	5	4	5

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation			~	Tronago	1 001
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college			V		
5	Administration of college		1_			
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities					
	70					-

Your Suggestion for Fut	ture improve	ement of Department / Inst	titute:		
1 Arrange	more	ement of Department / Ins	activities	Quelastas 1	
Grudenta	in	id the same	Henry	X MINOTOG	more
, ,	101		77-		



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	A STATE OF
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (VII)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Av	erage	1 - Poor
		1		Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	HVACR	DOM	TURBO	IE	OR
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	S	5	5	3	2
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	4	5	5	
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	S	3_	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	4	5	<u> </u>	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	S	S	S	5	5
6	Behavior of teachers	5	3	9	3_	3
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5_	5	_ 3`_	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	S	_5	5	5
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	5	5	37.	S
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	S	3	5		3
2.	General evaluation of institute		- TV - C-	od Good	Average	Poor
Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Go	00 0000	Average	100.
1	Departmental academic co-operation	1				
2	Library facility of college		1			
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					-
5	Administration of college					-
6	Discipline in college					
	Environment of college campus					
	Training and placement office			-		
	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities					1

Your Suggestion for Future improvement of Department / Institute:-



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	5
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (VII)

Grade 5- Excellent				
Grade 5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2- Average	1 - Poor

Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject						
		HVACR	DOM	TURBO	IE	OR		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	8	84	4	5		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	4	3	3	5		
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	4	4	5		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	4	3	3	5		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	3	4	4	5		
6	Behavior of teachers	3	4	3	3	5		
7	Sincerity of teachers	24	3	Ч	4	5		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	4	3	3	_		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	3	4	3	2		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	4	3	4	_5		

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation			~		
2	Library facility of college			/		
3	Laboratories of department			-		
4	Exam cell of college			~		
5	Administration of college			~		
6	Discipline in college			~		
7	Environment of college campus			/		
8	Training and placement office			V.		
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities			1		

Suggestion for Full	ture improvement of De	partment / institute:-	
307 dol	Practicals	PRETOEMIN	d •
	1 1	The state of the s	4
			1



Revision:
Date:-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (V)

-- (VIII)


1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2- Average	1 – Poor

Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject						
Sr. 10.	Criteria		HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-I		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	4	5	5	4		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	4	4	4	3		
3	Teachers willingness to help	1	2	5	5	2		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	1	4	4	4	4		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	2	5	5	2		
6	Behavior of teachers	1 1	1	4	4	2		
7	Sincerity of teachers	1	1	5	5	2		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	3	4	4	3		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	4	5	4	2		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	4	4	4	3		

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation				1	- 001
2	Library facility of college		~			
3	Laboratories of department		1			
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college		1			
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college				-	
10	Departmental extra activities					
					-	

Your Sugg	gestion for Futur	re improvem	nent of Department / Institute:-
	Arranac	quest	lecture 5
	3	J	



Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

1 - Poor

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (V)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- A	verage	1 – Poor
		1		Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-I
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	2	3	3	4	3_
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	,	4	3	3	2
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	2	2	2)
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	2		1	3
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures		3	2	2	2
6	Behavior of teachers)	2	2	3	1
7	Sincerity of teachers	2.	1	3	2	3
8	Overall teaching effectiveness		3	3	1	2
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	2	4	3	4
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	1	2	3	3	3

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation		V			
2	Library facility of college	1				
3	Laboratories of department		L			
4	Exam cell of college		<u></u>			
5	Administration of college	~				
6	Discipline in college		V			
7	Environment of college campus			1		
8	Training and placement office				2	
9	Infrastructure of college				~	
10	Departmental extra activities			1		

Your Suggestion for Fu	iture impro	vement of De	epartment / Institute:-	
Harange	50ft	5kill	lectures.	



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (V)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Av	erage	1 – Poor
Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
	Criteria	NSM	НМТ	DME	MXT	Elective-I
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	4	2	3	2
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	4	7	4	2
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	3	3	1
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	20	2	4	ュ
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	4	4	5	2
6	Behavior of teachers	4	4	3	4	2
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	3	3	4	2_
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	4	4	4	2_
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	3	4	2_	2
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	4	3	3	2

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college		~			
3	Laboratories of department			~		
4	Exam cell of college			~		
5	Administration of college			L		
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus				1/-	
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college			~	-	
10	Departmental extra activities					

	provement of Department / Institute:-	To the second
Arrange	departmental	activities
	,	



Record No:
Revision:
Date:-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester /Year -2022/23 (V)

Grade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2-7	\verage	1 – Poor
Sr. No.				Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-I
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	2	5	3	2	3
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	2	4	2	ユ	4

2	Clarity of teachers explanation	2	1 4	2	ユ	4
3	Teachers willingness to help	1	3	3	2	3_
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	4	2	1	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	4	2	3	3
6	Behavior of teachers	3	4	3	2	4
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	3	4	1	3
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	4	3	3	4
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	4	4	2	3

3

2 General evaluation of institute

by teachers

10

Ideas and concept were presented clearly

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	<u></u>				
2	Library facility of college			<u></u>		8
3	Laboratories of department				L	
4	Exam cell of college			1		
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus			-		
8	Training and placement office				~	
9	Infrastructure of college			~		
10	Departmental extra activities					

Your Suggestion for Fu	uture improvement o	f Department / Insti	tute:-	
27				



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-Revision --Date:-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year -2022/23 (V)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- A	verage	1 – Poor
14200 100		I		Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-f
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	S	2	4	4	2
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	2	_S	2	2
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	3	5	2	2
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	5	5	3	2
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	S	4	3	2_
6	Behavior of teachers	S	5	Le	3	2_
7	Sincerity of teachers	S	S	4	4	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	S	S	4	3	2
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	S	4	3	3	2_
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	4	4	3	2

General evaluation of institute

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college		_			
3	Laboratories of department		~			
4	Exam cell of college		<u></u>			
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college			-		
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office			4	<u></u>	
9	Infrastructure of college			,		
10	Departmental extra activities			-		

Your Suggestion for Future improvement of Department / Institute:-



Revision -Date:-

Record No:-

1 - Poor

SOMESHWARNAGAR STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester /Year:-2022/23 (V)


1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- Av	erage	1 – Poor
-				Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-I
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	2	2	2	3	2
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	2	3	2	3	2
3	Teachers willingness to help	2	3	2	.2_	2
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	3	3	2	2
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	2	3	3	2	3
6	Behavior of teachers	2_	3	2	2_	3
7	Sincerity of teachers	2	2	2	2	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	39	3	2_	2
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	2_	3	3	3
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly	2	3	2_	3	3

2. General evaluation of institute

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college		~			
3	Laboratories of department			<u></u>		
4	Exam cell of college			1_		
5	Administration of college			<u></u>		
6	Discipline in college			<u></u>		
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office			-/		
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities					

Your Suggestion for Future improvement of Department / Institute:-



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF

ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-Revision:-Date:-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical


Semester / Year: -2022/23 (V)

 Student evaluation for facult 	1	. Student	evaluation	for	facul	ty
---	---	-----------	------------	-----	-------	----

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- A	verage	1 – Poor		
Sr. No.		Subject						
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	НМТ	DME	MXT	Elective-l		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	4	4	3	3		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	1	4	4	3		
3	Teachers willingness to help	2	3	4	15	4		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	4	3	3	4		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	2	4	4	3	5		
6	Behavior of teachers	2	2	5	5	5		
7	Sincerity of teachers	2	4	5	5	4		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	3	5	4	4		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	4	4	4	3		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	2	3	4	5	3		

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department			~		
4	Exam cell of college			U		
5	Administration of college			L		
6	Discipline in college			1		
7	Environment of college campus			1		
8	Training and placement office				1-	
9	Infrastructure of college				·	
10	Departmental extra activities			1		

Arrange	auest	lectures	00	industr
---------	-------	----------	----	---------



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

4- Very Good

Record No:-	júsica e en
Revision:-	
Date:-	

1 - Poor

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

3- Good

Name of Department	: -Mechanical
1 Student evaluat	tion for faculty

5- Excellent

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (V)

2- Average

				Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	2	3	9	2	2
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	2	3	2	2	2
3	Teachers willingness to help	2	3	2	2	2
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	3	2	0	2
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	2_	3	0	0	2
6	Behavior of teachers	2	7	2	0	2
7	Sincerity of teachers	2_	2	2	2	0
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	3	2	2	2
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	3	2	1	2
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	2	3	2	2	2

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
31.140.		Excellent	very dood	Good	Average	1 001
1	Departmental academic co-operation			L		
2	Library facility of college			レ		
3	Laboratories of department				_	
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college				10	
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office				V	
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities					

ale s.	more	practice	01	workshop
--------	------	----------	----	----------



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF

ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

1 - Poor

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester/Year-2022/23(V)


***	oradem evaluation	tor ractiny		
Grade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2- Average

Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-l
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	3	3	3	3
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	1	1	3	7	7
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	3	7	J
4	Teachers ability to motivate	1	3	7	1	3
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	1	3	3	3
6	Behavior of teachers	3	7	7	3	3
7	Sincerity of teachers	J	3	7	3	7
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	3	7	7	3
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	3	13	3	7
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	7	7	7	7

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation			V	Tiverage	1001
2	Library facility of college			1		
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college			1/		
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus			1		
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college			V		
10	Departmental extra activities					

Your Suggestion for Future improvement of Department /	Institute:-
--	-------------

maintain	COOKE ha	properly.
	75700	properly.
		. ,



Record No -Revision -Date -

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM


Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty Semester / Year -2022/23 (V)

Grade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2- /	Average	1 – Poor
				Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-I

				Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	4	5	3	5
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	5	3	3	4
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	4	3	3_	4
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	4	2	3	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	4	2	3	5
6	Behavior of teachers	5	4	3	3	4
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	3	3	3	5
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	3	4	3	4
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	3	4	3	4
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly	3	4	3	3	4

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation		L			
2	Library facility of college		V			
3	Laboratories of department			11		
4	Exam cell of college			~		
5	Administration of college			سا		
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus				U	
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college				L	
10	Departmental extra activities					

our Suggestion	for Future	e improvemen	t of Dep	artment / Institut	e:- ,	1	1
(rive m	v gra	mountaige	on	artment / Institut	usia	for	methania .



Record No:-	Dia Mis
Revision:-	4 7
Date:-	

1 - Poor

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (V)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- /	\verage_	1 – Poor
		1		Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-I
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	5	5	5	3
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	5	5	4	9
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	4	5	5	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	5	5	4	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	3	5	5	4
6	Behavior of teachers	4	4	5	4	3
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	4	5	5	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	2	5	4	2
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	2	4	5	2
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	4	4	4	3

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation				/	
2	Library facility of college				~	
3	Laboratories of department				V	
4	Exam cell of college				~	
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus				1	
8	Training and placement office			-		
9	Infrastructure of college					
10	Departmental extra activities				./	

our Suggestion for Future improvement of	Department / Institute:-		
	lectures	02	
Software			



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF

ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (V)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

0 .					1 Door
Grade	C 12 11 .	1 17 (1	2 (2- Average	1 – Poor
Utade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	Z- AVCIARO	1 1001
	2 LACOHOII	T- VOI y GOOG	5 0000		

C N	12020			Subject		100 0000
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-I
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	2	2	3	4
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	2	3	4
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	4	2	3	5
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	3	2	2	5
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	3	3	3	4
6	Behavior of teachers	3	3	3	3	3
7	Sincerity of teachers	2	2	3	3	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	2	3	2	3
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	2	2	. 3	4
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	3	3	3	3

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation			~		
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department			V		
4	Exam cell of college			~		
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office			V.		
9	Infrastructure of college			V		V
10	Departmental extra activities			0		

Your Suggesti	on for Future improve	ment of Department / Institute:-	
1001 5-00		SOFTEDONE.	GOORSDOPS
- 170			
05	CADKA	<u>n</u>	



SOMESHWARNAGAR

Creend HO.	- 1
Revision -	1
Date:	4

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department -Mechanical 1 Student evaluation for faculty Semester / Year - 2022/23 (V)

Grade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2- Average	1 - Poor

Sr. No.	Policia.			Subject		
211110	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-I
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	1	1	3	3
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	CI	1	1	4	4
3	Teachers willingness to help	1)	1	1	3	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	1	1	3	3
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	1	1	4	4
6	Behavior of teachers	11	1	1	4	4
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	1	2	3	3
S	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	1	4	21
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	1	1	2	3
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	1			4

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation					
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college			1		
7	Environment of college campus					
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college			1		
10	Departmental extra activities					

Your Suggestion for Future improvement of Depa	rtment / Institute:-
understable	notes.



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

1 - Poor

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

3- Good

4- Very Good

Name of Department: -Mechanical

5- Excellent

Semester /Year:-2022/23 (V)

2- Average

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Very	Good	3- Good	2- A	verage	1 – Poor
	7000000 072	1		Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-l
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	3	2	5	5
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	3	2	5	5
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	4_	3	5	5
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	4	3	5	5
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	4	4	5	5
6	Behavior of teachers	5	4	3	5	5
7	Sincerity of teachers	A	4	4	5	B
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	4	3	5	5
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	4	3	5	3
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	4	4	5	5

General evaluation of institute Poor Good Average Very Good Excellent Particulars Sr.No. Departmental academic co-operation 1 Library facility of college 2 Laboratories of department 3 Exam cell of college 4 Administration of college 5 Discipline in college 6 Environment of college campus 7 Training and placement office 8 Infrastructure of college 9 Departmental extra activities 10

y Suggestion for Future imp	provement of Department / Ins	stitute:-
Your Suggestion for the	Teaching	as better
- I MID BOVE		



SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester / Year - 2022/23 (V)

Grade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2- Average	1 - Poor

Sr. No.	Criteria			Subject		
51.110.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-l
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	05	04	04	04	05
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	05	04	04	05	05
3	Teachers willingness to help	05	04	04	05	05
4	Teachers ability to motivate	75	01	05	05	04
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	05	04	05	05	05
6	Behavior of teachers	05	0	05	05	04
7	Sincerity of teachers	05	04	05	04	05
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	05	03	04	04	04
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	04	16	05	04	05
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	05	03	04	04	05

2.	General evaluation of institute					
Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation		-			
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department	~				
4	Exam cell of college	-				
5	Administration of college	~				
6	Discipline in college	~				
7	Environment of college campus	-				
8	Training and placement office	~				
9	Infrastructure of college		~			
10	Departmental extra activities					

		of Department / Institute:-	
Give	Simple	Notes	



Record No:-	Charles -
Revision:-	165
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (V)

Grade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2- Average	1 – Poor

		Subject						
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-I		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	5	5	5	5		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	4	4	4		
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	4	4	4	4		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5-	4	5	5	5		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	5	5	5	5		
6	Behavior of teachers	5	4	4	5	5		
7	Sincerity of teachers	5	5	5	5	5		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	4	5	5	5		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	5	4	5	4		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	5	5	5	5		

Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation	L-				
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college					
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus	_				
8	Training and placement office	_				
9	Infrastructure of college	_				
10	Departmental extra activities					

Our Subbestion	n for Future impr				.0	
	Give	Notes	14	sim oll	Format	



Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date;-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (V)

	7 T 11				
Grade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2- Average	1 – Poor
		THE STREET OF COLUMN ASSESSMENT		a.a.	

Sr. No.	Criteria	Subject						
31.110.	Citeria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-I		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	5	04	05	5	5		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	04	09	5	4		
3	Teachers willingness to help	5	oa	05	5	4		
4	Teachers ability to motivate	5	05	04	5	5		
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	5	5	04	5	5		
6	Behavior of teachers	5	5	05	5	5		
7	Sincerity of teachers	5-	05	05	5	5		
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	5	05	05	5	5		
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	05	65	4	4		
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	5	05	05	5	5		

2.	General evaluation of institute					
Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation		~			
2	Library facility of college	V				
3	Laboratories of department	V				
4	Exam cell of college	V				
5	Administration of college	V				
6	Discipline in college	V				
7	Environment of college campus	~				
8	Training and placement office	~				
9	Infrastructure of college		~			
10	Departmental extra activities					

Give notes of simple and understanding			ovement of Departmen		
Cod mak	Give	notes	of simple	and	undestanding
		C	ha mak		



Record No :-Revision:-Date:-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester /Year:-2022/23 (V)

Sr. No.	3- Excellent 4- Very		3- Good	2- ^	verage	1 – Poor
C1.110.	Criteria	2102		Subject		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	NSM	НМТ	DME	MXT	Elective-
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	. 1	2	5	5
3	Teachers willingness to help		1	2	5	4
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	1	2	5	5
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	1	2_	5	5
6	Behavior of teachers	3_	1	2_	5	4
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	I	2_	5	5
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	2_	_5	5
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	5	1	2	5	Le
10	Ideas and concept were presented alarm	5	1	2	5	5
	by teachers	5	I	2_	5	5

Sr.No.	General evaluation of institute Particulars	T 11			7-1-1-1	
1	Departmental academic co-operation	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college					
3	Laboratories of department					
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college				1	
6	Discipline in college				~	
7	Environment of college campus	-			V	
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college				V	
10	Departmental extra activities				V	-

Your Suggestion for	Future improv	0===4		
Your Suggestion for	Should	alup	Department	:/Institute:-

The second second second second	4- VEI
-allent	- the second sec
77.75	

SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

DME

SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY. SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-Revision:-Date:-

1 - Poor

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (V)

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Grade	5- Excellent 4- Vo	ery Good	3- Good	2- A	verage	I – Poor
				Subject		
Sr. No.	Criteria	NSM	HMT	DME	MXT	Elective-l
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	1	3	3	9
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	4	3	3	4
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	4	3	3	4
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	4	3	3	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures		4	3	3	4
6	Behavior of teachers	3	4	3	3	4
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	4	3	3	4
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	4	3	3	4
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	4	3	3	4
	Ideas and concept were presented clearly		,	0	2	,

General evaluation of institute

by teachers

2.	General evaluation of institute	Toward Corp.	and the same			_
Sr.No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation			~		
2	Library facility of college		V			
3	Laboratories of department			~		
4	Exam cell of college			_		
5	Administration of college			~		
6	Discipline in college			~		
7	Environment of college campus			L		
8	Training and placement office			-		
9	Infrastructure of college			~		
10	Departmental extra activities					
	*				200	

Your Suggestion for Future improvemen	t of Department / Institute:-			
Software	practiculs	shorold	00	
	deous			_



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

3- Good

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

I - Poor

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

4- Very Good

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

5- Excellent

Environment of college campus

Training and placement office

Departmental extra activities

Infrastructure of college

7

8

9

10

3. Good


Semester /Year:-2022/23 (III)

2- Average

Sr. No.	2				Subject		T success
01.110.	Criteria	SOM	SMD		ET	EMM	EEE
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	2	3	2		1
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	h	1 2	,	3		1
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	2	,	1	1	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	1 5	4		3	1	
3	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	6	3	B	1	2
6	Behavior of teachers	3	2	2_	3	./	3
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	3		3		5
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	2	,	2	J	2
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	Ġ	3	2	1	5
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	3	5	3	1	3
2.	General evaluation of institute						1 _
Sr.No.	Particulars	Excell	ent Vo	ery Goo	od Good	d Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation				1		
2	Library facility of college			1	4		
3	Laboratories of department					~	
4	Exam cell of college				1	_	
5	Administration of college					1	
6	Discipline in college					1 -	

Your Suggestion for Future impro	evement of Department / Inst	titute:-	
Your Suggestion for rutare impro	The state of separation of the state of the	, , ,	
Arrange	Industrial	11517.	
		0.0	





Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

1 - Poor

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

Ideas and concept were presented clearly

10

by teachers

Semester /Year:-2022/23 (III)

Grade	5- Excellent 4- V	ery Good	3- Good	2- /	verage	1 - Poor	
	Criteria		Subject				
Sr. No.		SOM	SMD	ET	<u>EMM</u>	EEE	
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	1	3	5	1	3	
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	1	. 2	S	1	2	
3	Teachers willingness to help	2	3	5	3	2	
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	2	5	3	2	
5	Teachers ability to organize the lecture	s	2	5	2	1 /	
6	Behavior of teachers	1	1	5	2	4	
7	Sincerity of teachers	2	4		3	1	
8	Overall teaching effectiveness)	j		3	1	
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers)	1	5)	2	

General evaluation of institute 2. Very Good Poor Good Average Excellent Particulars Sr.No. Departmental academic co-operation 1 Library facility of college 2 Laboratories of department 3 Exam cell of college 4 Administration of college 5 Discipline in college 6 Environment of college campus 7 Training and placement office 8 Infrastructure of college 9 Departmental extra activities 10

3

Your Suggestion for	r Future improvement of Department,/ Institute:-
Ar	r Future improvement of Department / Institute:-
CAD -CA	1 ml comise hitem



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-Revision:-Date:-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (111)

Name of Department: -Mechanical 1. Student evaluation for faculty

C-1	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2- Average	1 - 1,001
Grade	5- Excellent	1 10.3			

				Subject		EEE
Sr. No.	Criteria	SOM	SMD	ET	EMM	-
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	2	2	3	3	2
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	2	. 2	3	3	
3	Teachers willingness to help	2	2	4	3	2
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	3	3	3	ュ
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	2	1	3	3	1
6	Behavior of teachers	2	2	3	3	1
7	Sincerity of teachers	8	2	3	3	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	2	7	3	2
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	2	2	3	1
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly	1	3	3	3	2

ral evaluation of institute

2.	General evaluation of institute Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Sr.No.	Departmental academic co-operation	1	1			
1		_				
2	Library facility of college		1			
3	Laboratories of department			1		
4	Exam cell of college		21			
5	Administration of college		V	•		
6	Discipline in college			4		
7	Environment of college campus			<u></u>		
8	Training and placement office					
9	Infrastructure of college				20	
10	Departmental extra activities			1		

Your Suggestion f	or Futi	are improvement of Departme	ent / Institute:-		
Tour Suggestion.	0	practicals	more	CIS	regulard
		dustries.			



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-Revision:-Date:-

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name of Department: -Mechanical

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (111)


1. Student evaluation for faculty

				2 1	1 - Poor
Grade	5- Excellent	4- Very Good	3- Good	2- Average	
Grade	3- Excellent	4- Voly Good			

				Subject	_	Terre
Sr. No.	Criteria	SOM	SMD	ET	<u>EMM</u>	EEE
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	3	21	2	4
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	-2	4	1	3
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	5	4	3	2
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	5	3	2	1
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	4	2	1	2
6	Behavior of teachers	3	4	4	1	3
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	4	5	2	2
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	4	5	1	1
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	2	5	3	3
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	2	_3	5	,)

Sr.No.	General evaluation of institute Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Departmental academic co-operation		~			
2	Library facility of college	レ				
3	Laboratories of department		V			
4	Exam cell of college		•	1		
5	Administration of college	\			~	
6	Discipline in college			V		
7	Environment of college campus				-	
8	Training and placement office				1	
9	Infrastructure of college				~	
10	Departmental extra activities			1		

ggestion to	e improvement of De	1_ 1	•	-0
FOCU	5 020	10010	nna	cena
	Dace me	-1		
	lace rose	n1 ·		



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SHARADCHANDRA PAWAR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Record No:-	
Revision:-	
Date:-	

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM Name of Department: -Mechanical

1. Student evaluation for faculty

Semester / Year: -2022/23 (111)

Grad	le 5- Excellent 4- Ver	y Good	3- Good	2- /	verage	1 - Poor
Sr. No.	. Criteria			Subject		
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	SOM 3	SMD	ET	<u>EMM</u>	EEE
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	-	3	3	4	2
3	Teachers willingness to help	14	3	2	5	3
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	2	1	5	4
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	2)	3	S	5
6	Behavior of teachers	3	2	2	3	+
7	Sincerity of teachers	2	3	1	2	5
-	Overall teaching effectiveness		3	3	2	19
	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	4	2		1
	deas and concept were presented clearly	2	4	4	3	5
b	y teachers	2	3	3	b	5
2. <u>G</u>	eneral evaluation of institute				4	15
0.	Particulars	P **				

Sr.N	General evaluation of institute Particulars	F	T			
1	Departmental academic co-operation	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
2	Library facility of college					1001
3	Laboratories of department		<u></u>			
4	Exam cell of college					
5	Administration of college		~			
6	Discipline in college					
7	Environment of college campus	1		~		
3	Training and placement office	-				
	Infrastructure of college				_	
	Departmental extra activities				2	

Your Suggestion	for Future impr	Ovement -f D			
_	Take	Overneill of Depai	tment / Inst	itute:-	
befor	80	prac	tice.	tests	2000
	- 0	Lenive	CELLY	eacin	2006
					•)

SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S

SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR


Student Feedback Form (Student evaluation for faculty)

Department: Mechanical

Academic Year: 2022-23 (Sem-I)(BE)


Subject-Heating Ventilation Air-Conditioning and Refrigeration(Prof. Kakade S. R.)

Sr.No	Particular/Points					,		Fee	db	ack	fo	rm	No.								
1	Subject In 1 1 2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Total	%
	Subject knowledge of teachers	2	3	5	5	1	-	2	_	-	Е		4	20	-	-	-	-	-		
2	Clarity of teachers explanation		3	5	2	4	2		2	2	5	5	4	3	2	4	4	1	5	69	76.67
3	Teachers willingness to help	- 2	3	5	4	3	5	2	4	5	5	4	5	3	3	3	5	2	5	68	75.56
4	Teachers ability to motivate	- 2	2	5	5	-	5	3	4	5	5	5	4	3	3	4	5	1	5	71	78.89
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	2	2	5	4	5	5	1	4	5	5	4	3	3	3	3	5	1	5	65	72.2
6	Behavior of teachers	2	3	5	5	5	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	3	3	4	5	2	5	71	78.89
	Sincerity of teachers	2	3	5	4	4	5	2	4	5	5	4	5	3	3	4	5	1	5	69	76.67
	Overall teaching effectiveness	2	3	5	5	4	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	5	1	5	74	82.22
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	3	5	4	-	5	1	5	5	5	4	4	3	3	4	5	1	5	67	74.44
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	2	2	4	5	_	5	1	4	5	5	5	3	3	5	3	5	1	5	65	72.22
	presented clearly by teachers	2	2	4	4	5	5	2	4	5	5	4	4	3	3	3	4	1	5	65	72.22




Subject-Dynamics of Machinery(Prof-Gawade S. R.)

Sr.No	Particular/Points					_	-	Fee	db	ack	for	m	No.	_	_			-		With the state	12.5
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		_	_	$\overline{}$		14	15	16	17	18	Total	%
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	1	5	3	5	2	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	3	3	5	74	82.22
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	1	5	3	4	2	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	5	71	78.89
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	1	4	3	5	2	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	3	2	5	71	78.89
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	1	4	3	5	2	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	2	2	5	70	77.78
6	Behavior of teachers	4	1	5	3	4	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	2	3	5	74	82.22
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	1	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	5	73	81.11
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	5	3	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	2	2	5	73	81.11
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	1	4	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	2	5	73	81.11
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	1	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	2	2	5	74	82.22
	- Prosince cicary by teachers	3	1	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	2	5	73	81.11




Subject-Turbo Machinery(Prof- Kakade S. R.)

Jr.No	Posti I to												9								
	Particular/Points							Fee	dba	ack	fo	m	No								
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Total	%
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	2	5	4	4	3	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	74	82.22
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	3	5	5	5	5	3	67	74.44
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	4	4	2	4	5	4	4	3	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	73	81.11
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	2	3	3	4	3	4	2	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	66	73.33
6	Behavior of teachers	3	4	3	3	2	4	3	3	4	4	3	5	4	4	5	5	5	3	67	74.44
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	4	3	2	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	5	5	5	4	65	72.22
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	5	-	3	4	4	2	5	4	4	4	3	3	3	5	5	5	4	69	76.67
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	4	-	4	3	4	4	5	4	-	5	5	4	2	5	_	5	4	73	81.11
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	5	-	5	3	5	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	2	5	5	5	5	67 66	74.44 73.33
							•									_					76.33




Subject-Electi	ve III(Prof. Pondkule S. M.)	Feedback form No.	Total	94
Sr.No	Particular/Points		TAFall	4/2

-													_								
1	Subject knowledge of teachers Clarity of teachers	1	1 -	-									1)							
2	Clarity of teachers	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	IUtai	/0
		3	5	1	3	5	3	4	4	1	2	4	5	4	5	3	5	5	5	67	74.44
		4	5	2	3	5	4	5	5	2	3	4	4	4	5	3	5	5	5	73	81.11
	and the state of t	3	5	1	3	4	4	3	5	1	3	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	68	75.56
	- cachers ability to organi	4	5	2	3	4	4	4	5	1	2	5	4	5	5	3	5	4	5	70	77.78
		4	5	1	3	5	4	5	5	2	2	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	73	81.11
	Sincerity of teachers	4	5	2	3	5	4	3	5	1	3	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	73	_
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	5	1	3	3	4	4	5	1	3	5	3	5	5	5	5	2			81.11
9	Test conducted well:	4	5	2	5	1	4	5	-	1	3	1			2	_	-	3	5	69	76.67
10	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	+	1	-	14	-		2	1	3	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	74	82.22
	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers		5	1	3	4	4	3	5	1	2	3	2	3	5	5	5	5	5	64	71.13
	of teachers	3	5	2	3	4	4	1	4	1	2	4	3	4	4	3	5	4	5	61	67.78
																					76.80



Vo	Particular/Points				-			ee	dba	ack	for	m	No.							Total	9
. +	Subject knowledge of teachers	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	TOtal	Ľ
,	Clarity of teachers explanation	5	5	4	3	2	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	2	3	5	5	5	75	83.
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	4	3	4	1	4	2	4	3	4	5	4	3	3	3	5	5	3	64	71.
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	4	3	3	3	3	2	4	4	5	5	3	4	4	5	5	5	4	70	77.
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	3	2	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	5	3	4	3	4	5	5	4	69	76.
6	Behavior of teachers	5	5	2	2	3	4	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	4	2	5	5	5	71	78.
- 7	Sincerity of teachers	4	4	3	3	5	5	2	4	4	4	5	4	3	3	5	5	5	5	73	81.
8	Overall teaching effectiveness		3	3 2	. 2	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	77	85.
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	-	-	4 2	-	-	_	+	5	+	4	5	3	4	3	3	5	5	4	66	73.
1		_	-	5 2	-	_	2 3	-	-	+	+-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	3	67	74.
_	Tradas and concept were presented clearly by teachers		4	5	3 4	4 4	4 4	. 4	4	4	4	5	5	2	4	3	5	5	4	73	81.
																					78.




SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Student Feedback Form (Student evaluation for faculty)

Department: Mechanical

Academic Year: 2022-23 (Sem-I)(SE)

	Engineering Thermodynamics (Prof. Kakade S. R.)								F	edb	ack i	OHI	2012/11/11		441	15 .	16 1	71:	18 1	19 3	20		
r.No	Particular/Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	13	2	3	3	5	3	76	76.00
		3	4	3	3	4	2	5	3	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	2	1	4	5	3	79	79.00
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	4	2	2	4	3	5	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	3	2	3	4	3	76	76.00
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	3	3	4	3	5	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	3	3	2	4	3	72	72.00
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	2	3	3	2	5	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	2	2	3	5	3	70	70.00
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	2	1	3	2	3	5	3	5	4	3	5	5	4	5	3	2	5	4	4	76	76.00
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	4	3	3	2	3	5	3	5	3	4	3	5	4	5	3	2	3	5	3	75	75.00
6	Behavior of teachers	3	5	2	3	4	3	5	3	5	3	4	3	5	14	4	-	3	1 2	1	1 4	80	80.00
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	5	4	3	4	3	5	3	5	4	3	3	5	14	4	3	3	1 3	1 4	1	71	71.00
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	1 2	5	3	2	3	3	5	3	5	2	. 3	2	5	1 4	15	15	3	13	14	+	80	80.0
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	1 3	5	5 4	3	3 4	1 4	1 5	5 :	3 5	3	4	4	5	1 4	1 4	15	1 4	1 4	1 4	1 :	00	75.5



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR

Student Feedback Form (Student evaluation for faculty)

Department: Mechanical

Academic Year: 2022-23 (Sem-I)(SE)

Subject-Engineering Thermodynamics (Prof. Kakade S. R.)

Sr.No	Particular/Points								F	eedb	ack	forn	n No									Total	%
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Total	,,,
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	4	3	3	4	2	5	3	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	3	3	5	3	76	76.00
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	4	2	2	4	3	5	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	3	79	79.00
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	4	3	3	4	3	5	3	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	3	3	3	4	3	76	76.00
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	3	2	3	3	2	5	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	2	2	4	3	72	72.00
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	2	1	3	2	3	5	3	5	4	3	5	5	4	5	3	3	3	5	3	1	70.00
6	Behavior of teachers	3	4	3	3	2	3	5	3	5	3	4	3	5	4	5	3	5	5	4	4	76	76.00
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	5	2	3	4	3	5	3	5	3	4	3	5	4	4	5	3	3	5	3	75	75.00
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	5	4	3	4	3	5	3	5	4	3	3	5	4	4	3	5	5	4	4	80	80.00
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	5	3	2	3	3	5	3	5	2	3	2	5	4	5	5	3	3	4	4	71	71.00
	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	5	4	3	4	4	5	3	5	3	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	80	80.00
20	TO RECOGNIZE A STORY OF STORY STORY OF																						75.50


100.00
90.00
80.00
70.00
60.00
50.00
40.00
30.00
10.00
0.00
10.00
0.00

Teacher's pathana of teacher's teaching of teacher's teacher's teaching of teacher's teacher

Subject-Solid Modelling and Drafting (P


No Particular/Points								F	eedl	ack	forr	n No).			100						
Subject knowledge of teachers	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Total	%
Charley Of teachers overland	4	4	4	5	3	2	3	4	4	4	2	5	4	5	4	1	5	5	3	3	74	74.00
Teachers Willingness to bel-	4	5	4	5	3	3	3	3	4	5	2	5	4	5	4	1	5	5	4	3	77	77.00
1 Cachers ability to motivate	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	3	5	3	5	5	5	4	1	5	5	3	3	79	79.00
reachers ability to organize the less	4	5	4	5	3	3	3	4	4	5	2	5	5	3	4	1	5	5	4	3	77	77.0
Behavior of teachers	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	5	4	5	2	5	5	3	4	1	5	5	3	3	78	78.0
Sincerity of teachers	4	5	4	5	4	4	3	5	4	5	2	5	5	1	5	1	5	5	A	3	82	82.0
Overall teaching effectiveness	4	4	4	5	3	4	3	4	4	5	2	5	5	1	5	1	5	1 4	1 3	13	77	77.0
Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	5	4	5	3	4	3	4	1	5	3	5	1	-	15	1	1 5	1 4	3	1 -	-	-
Ideas and consent	4	4	4	5	3	4	2	3	1	5	2	1 2	1 3	13	13	1	1 5	1 4	1 3	3	-	79.0
Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	5	4	5	3	4	2	13	1 4	1	1 2	1 2	1 1	1 3	5	1 1	1 3	3	1 4	-	74	74.





Subject-Engineering Materials and Metall

No Particular/Points Subject leave to	-	_						F	eedl	ack	forn	n No										
Subject knowledge of teachers Clarity of the state of teachers	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Total	%
2 Clarity of teachers explanation 3 Teachers explanation	3	2	2	4	4	1	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	3	5	4	2	4	5	77	77.00
3 Teachers willingness to help 4 Teachers Hillingness to help	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	5	5	5	4	3	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	79	79.00
reachers ability to motivate	3	4	3	3	3	3	5	2	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	79	79.00
- cachers ability to oromize the t	3	3	3	4	2	3	5	2	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	3	4	5	78	78.00
Total of teachers	3	3	3	4	4	3	5	2	4	4	5	3	4	5	5	5	4	1	4	5	76	76.00
7 Sincerity of teachers	3	3	5	3	3	4	4	2	5	5	4	4	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	82	82.00
8 Overall teaching effectiveness	3	4	5	4	2	3	4	1	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	5	4	5	4	4	80	80.0
9 Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	2	3	3	4	3	5	1	5	5	4	3	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	76	76.0
.0 Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	3	3	3	2	4	5	1	5	5	4	3	5	5	4	5	1 4	1 4	1 4	3	75	75.0
presented clearly by teachers	3	5	3	4	3	3	5	2	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	1	5	1	1 3	82	82.0



Subject	-Electrical and	Electronics	Engineering(Prof.		
1		_	Bureering (Prof.	Sorates	RI

Sr.No	Particular/Points	S. B.))	_																			
	Subject knowledge of teachers	1	7	7	Τ.		_		F	eed	back	for	m No).								Tatal	%
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	2	4	1	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Total	70
3	Teachers willingness to help	2	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5	5	1	5	86	86.00
4	Teachers ability to motivate	2	4	4	13	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	2	4	4	2	5	84	84.00
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	1	5	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	2	3	5	1	5	83	83.00
6	Behavior of teachers	1	4	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	4	5	3	5	4	4	4	1	5	81	79.00
7	Sincerity of teachers	1	4	2	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	3	4	3	3	4	1	5	79 83	83.00
8 (Overall teaching effectiveness	2	4	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	1	4	5	1	5	4	2	5	82	82.00
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	2	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	4	2	5	88	88.00
10	deas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	1	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	2	3	5	1	5	84	84.0
	reachers of teachers	2	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	4	4	5	1	5	86	86.00



SOMESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL'S SOMESHWAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, SOMESHWARNAGAR


Student Feedback Form (Student evaluation for faculty)

Department: Mechanical


Academic Year: 2022-23 (Sem-I)(TE)

Subject-Numerical & Statistical Methods(Prof.Suraj Jagtap (Visiting))

Sr.No	Particular/Points	VISIUI	IBI)		_	-	_	_	_		_		_		_	_	_		_	_		T		0/
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 1	6	17 1	181	19	2012	21	Total	%
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	3	5	3	5	5	5	1	3	2	4	1	5	3		5	4	5	5	5	5	81	77.14
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	3	5	-	5	5	5	2	3	2	4	5	5	4	-	4	4	5	5	5	4	83	79.05
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	3	5	-	5	4	5	1	3	2	4	1	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	82	78.10
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	3	5	-	5	4	5	2	3	2	4	2	5	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	81	77.1
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	3	5	4	5	5	5	1	3	2	3	1	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	82	78.1
6	Behavior of teachers	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	2	3	2	4	2	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	86	81.9
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	3	5	5	5	3	5	1	3	2	3	1	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	82	78.1
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	5	5	4	5	5	5	2	3	2	4	2	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	84	80.0
	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	3	2	3	1	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	14	83	79.
	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	-	5	3	5	4	5	2	3	2	4	2	5	5	4	4	5	4	. 5	5	5	85	
							5400		artes se															78




No	Particular/Points											110	2/12	111	115	16	17 1	8 19	9 20 2	21		
140		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	- 1	5 3	1	13	4	1	5 5	5 5	5	81	77.14
	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	1	4	3	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5 5	5 1	5	4	2	5	5 5	4	77	73.3
	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	1	4	2	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5 1	4	4	1	2	4 4	5	81	77.
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	1	5	3	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4 3	1 5	1 4	1	2	4 4	5	79	75.
4	Teachers ability to motivate	4	1	5	2	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	1	5 4	1	2	5 5	4	80	76.
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	14	1	5	2	3	5	5 5	5 5	4	15	5	5	4	1	3 4	1	1	5 5	14	81	-
6	Behavior of teachers	1	1 1	. 5	3	3	5 5	5 5	1	2 4	1 5	15	5	4	1	3 4	4 3	11	151	5 6	1 80	76
7	Sincerity of teachers	1	4 3	1 5	5 3	3 3	3 5	5	5	5	5 5	5	5	5	1	4	4 1	13	15	5	5 8	
8	Overall teaching effectiveness		4	1 !	5	2	3 3	3	5	5	5 5	5 5	5	5	1	1	41.	-1-	1-1	7		7
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers		4	1	5	5	31	-1	_	_	_		_							1		
10	Ideas and concept were presented every	_	_						_	_					_	_				1		




Subject-Design of Machine Elements (Prof. Pondkule S. N.)

Sr.No	Particular/Points						_										1					T		0/
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16 1	17	18	19	20	21	Total	%
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	2	5	3	5	5	5	5	4	5	3	2	1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	88	83.81
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	2	4	3	5	5	3	5	4	4	3	2	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	82	78.1
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	2	5	5	5	5	4	5	1	5	3	2	1	3	3	5	5	5	5	3	5	83	79.05
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	2	4	4	5	5	1	5	4	1	3	2	2	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	85	80.95
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	2	4	2	5	5	5	5	4	5	3	2	1	5	7	5	5	5	5	4	4	83	79.0
6	Behavior of teachers	3	2	5	-	5	5	5	5	1	5	3	2	2	7	3	5	5	5	5	3	1	85	80.9
7	Sincerity of teachers	3	2	5	-	5	5	1	5	4	15	3	2	1	-	5	5	-	-	-	5	5	88	83.8
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	3	+-	5	3	+-	+-	4	+-	4	+	-	12	1 2	3	5	5	5	-		1 1	15		80.9
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	3	-	5	-	-	-	+	1	1	4	-	2	1	1	7	5	-	1	1	5 3	1		77.3
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	3	-	5	3	-	-	4	5	4	5	1-	2	2	1	4	5	-	,	5	5 5	+	5 83	79.
												_		_						_				80.




Subject-Mechatronics(Prof Sorate S. B.)

Sr.No	Particular/Points																					To	otal	%
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12 1	3 1	4 1	5 1	6 1	7 1	8 19	9/2	0 2:			
1	Subject knowledge of teachers	3	5	5	3	5	1	4	2	5	5	4	3	5	5	4	1 5	5 4	1 5	1	5 5		84	80.00
	Clarity of teachers explanation	3	5	5	2	5	2	3	2	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	2 5	5	4 !	5	4 5	1	84	80.00
3	Teachers willingness to help	3	5	5	5	5	1	Δ	2	5	5	4	3	5	5	4	2	4	4	5	4	5	85	80.95
4	Teachers ability to motivate	3	5	5	4	4	2	3	2	5	5	4	3	5	5	4	2	4	4	4	4	5	82	78.10
5	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	3	5	5	3	5	3	3	2	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	2	5	4	5	3	5	85	80.9
6	Behavior of teachers	3	5	5	4	5	12	12	12	5	5	4	3	5	5	4	1	5	4	5	3	5	82	78.1
7	Sincerity of teachers	13	5	5	4	5	1 2	13	2	1 5	5	4	4	5	5	4	1	4	4	4	3	5	82	78.1
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	13	5	5	5	5	3	14	1 2	-	5 5	4	3	5	5	4	1	5	4	5	2	4	84	80.0
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	_	5	5	4	. 4	1 2	2 4	1 2	2 5	5 5	4	3	5	5	4	1	3	4	4	4	4	80	76.
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	_	3 5	, 5	5 5	1	5 3	3 3	3 2	2 !	5 5	1	1 3	5	5	4	1	5	4	5	3	5	85	80



Subject-Elective I(Prof. Bhagat S. N.)

Sr.No	Particular/Points	T					-		_		_				_	_		_	_		_	Т		24
1	Subject leads to	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	Total	%
7	Subject knowledge of teachers	4	5	5	5	1	4	5	3	5	4	5	3	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	3	4	87	82.86
2	Clarity of teachers explanation	4	4	4	4	2	3	5	3	-	1	5	1	5	3	2	5	5	2	5	3	Δ	83	79.05
3	Teachers willingness to help	4	5	1	1	1	5	=	1	5	-	-	2	7	7	2	-	-	4	2	3	4	87	82.86
4	Teachers ability to motivate	1	5	5	4	2	1	2	3	2	3	2	3	4	4	3	2	2	4	2	3	4	87	82.86
	Teachers ability to organize the lectures	4	4	5	5	1	3	5	1	5	4	2	2	4	2	4	2	2	4	5	2	1	86	81.90
	Behavior of teachers	4	5	5	5	2	4	5	5	5	3	5	3	5	1	5	5	5	5	5	Δ	Δ	93	88.5
7	Sincerity of teachers	4	5	5	5	1	5	5	Δ	5	2	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	1	5	3	14	89	84.7
8	Overall teaching effectiveness	4	4	5	4	2	4	5	3	5	3	5	3	Δ	Δ	4	5	5	Δ	5	13	14	85	80.9
9	Test conducted well in time by teachers	4	5	_	4	1	4	5	1	5	4	5	3	4	4	3	5	5	3	5	1	4	82	78.1
10	Ideas and concept were presented clearly by teachers	4	5	5	5	2	3	5	4	5	3	5	3	5	5	3	5	5	13	5	1	1 4	89	84.7



Shri Someshwar Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's



Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engineering & Technology, Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id no PU/PN Engg /445/2012) +91-2112-283185 * Fax: (02112) 283185

Web :www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

*Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

Ref. No. SPCE / / 20 22- 2023

Date-12/1/2023

(A.Y. 2022-23 Semester-I) Feedback Action Taken Report

- 1. The feedback was conducted at the end of semester- I and semester- II before university examination.
- The feedback from students was taken on a range of 0-5 scale and calculated in average percentage.
- 3. Feedback analysis was communicated to all the faculties by conducting departmental meeting.
- 4. As per the methodology set by department, appreciation letters are given to faculties who are having average of both mid-semester and end-semester feedback more than 75%, whereas letter of concern/suggestion is given to faculties who are having average of both mid-semester and end-semester feedback less than 50%.
- 5. The letter of appreciation was given to 5 faculties for Semester-I of A. Y. 2022-23.

Sr. No.	Name of Faculty	Subject	Ratings (%)
1	Prof. Gawade S. R.	Dynamics of Machinery	80.67
2	Prof. Pondkule S. N.	Engineering Materials & Metallurgy and	78.40
3	Prof. Ghadage M. M.	Heat and Mass Transfer	76.19
	Prof. Kakade S. R.	HVAC	76
4	Prof Bhagat S. N.	Elective I	82.67

6. General instructions based on the suggestions given by students such as power-point presentations, making a creative use of black-board for teaching and learning process, taking more sessions for numerical/problems/difficult topics, etc. were given to all the faculties of the department.







Sharadchandra Pawar College of Engineering & Technology, Someshwarnagar Tal – Baramati, Dist – Pune 412 306

(Approved by AICTE New Delhi, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Id.no.PU/PN Engg./445/2012) * Fax: (02112) 283185 +91-2112-283185

Web: www.secsomeshwar.ac.in

*Email:sspm1972@gmail.com

(A.Y. 2022-23 Semester-I)

Subject Teacher List

Sr. No.	Class	Subject	Subject Teacher
51.130.	Class	Solid Mechanics	Dr. Gawade S.R.
1		Solid Modelling and Drafting	Prof. Ghadage M. M
2	(0.71)	Engineering Thermodynamics	Prof. Kakade S. R.
3	Second Year (S.E.)	Engineering Materials and Metallurgy	Prof. Pondkule S. M.
4		Electrical and Electronics Engineering	Prof. Sorate S. B.
5		Electrical and Electronics Engineering	

C N	Class	Subject	Subject Teacher
Sr. No.	Class	Numerical & Statistical Methods	Prof.Suraj Jagtap (Visiting)
1	*	Heat and mass Transfer	Prof. Ghadage M.M.
2	Third Year (T.E.)	Design of Machine Elements	Prof. Pondkule S.M.
3	Inird Teal (T.L.)	Mechatronics	Prof. Sorate S. B.
4			Prof. Bhagat S.N.
5		Elective I	

	Class	Subject	Subject Teacher
Sr. No.	Class	Heating Ventilation Air-Conditioning	Prof. Kakade S.R.
1		and Refrigeration Dynamics of Machinery	Prof. Gawade S.R.
2	- A Veer (RE)		Prof. Kakade S.R.
3	Fourth Year (B.E.)	Elective – III	Prof Pondkule S.M.
4		Elective – IV	Prof. Ghadage M.M.
5		Elective	